



**800 MHz TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR, LLC
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006**

FEBRUARY 19, 2007

John Bush, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc.

1676 International Drive

McLean, VA 22102

T: 703.747.8793

M: 703.628.2874

John.Bush@BearingPoint.com**Brett Haan, Managing Director**

BearingPoint, Inc.

1676 International Drive

McLean, VA 22102

T: 703.747.4968

M: 202.360.9616

Brett.Haan@BearingPoint.com**Robert B. Kelly, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20004

T: 202.626.6216

F: 202.626.6780

rkelly@ssd.com**Douglas L. Povich, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14th Floor

Tysons Corner, VA 22182

T: 703.720.7888

F: 703.720.7801

dpovich@ssd.com**Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President**

Baseline Wireless Services, LLC

2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132-133

Lafayette, CO 80026

T: 303.444.1480

F: 888.361.0603

JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
OVERVIEW.....	1
I. RECONFIGURATION PROGRESS	5
A. Overview of Status Against Schedule.....	5
1. Summary of Status.....	5
2. Recent Developments Regarding Schedule	6
B. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120).....	7
1. Wave 1, Stage 1	9
2. Wave 2, Stage 1	10
3. Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Expanded Southeast ESMR Band)	10
4. Wave 4, Stage 1	11
C. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band).....	13
1. Wave 1, Stage 2	13
2. Wave 2, Stage 2	14
3. Wave 3, Stage 2	15
4. Wave 4, Stage 2	15
D. Planning Funding	15
1. Fast Track Update.....	15
2. Publication of Aggregated Median Cost Data	16
3. Planning Funding Statistics	16
E. Special Temporary Authorizations	17
F. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress.....	18
G. Early Deployment of Radios.....	23
H. Elections.....	23
1. Economic Area Elections.....	23
2. Expansion Band Elections	23
II. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA	25
A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured	25
B. Frequency Proposals	26
C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review	27
D. FCC Reconfiguration Applications.....	28
E. Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing).....	29

III.	COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS	32
A.	Stakeholder Inquiries	32
B.	TA-Produced Materials , Mailings and the TA’s Website.....	32
C.	Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training	34
1.	Meetings and Conferences.....	34
2.	Webinars.....	34
3.	Licensee Outreach Campaigns.....	35
IV.	FINANCIAL.....	36
A.	Transition Administrator.....	36
1.	Fees, Expenses, and Staffing	36
2.	Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees.....	36
B.	External Audit.....	36
APPENDIX 1	Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006	
APPENDIX 2	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006	
APPENDIX 3	Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006	
APPENDIX 4	Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2006	
APPENDIX 5	Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2006	
APPENDIX 6	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006	
APPENDIX 7	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006	
APPENDIX 8	Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006	
APPENDIX 9	Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives for Quarter Ended December 31, 2006	
APPENDIX 10	800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through December 31, 2006	

OVERVIEW

The 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA”) provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarter ended December 31, 2006. Pursuant to the FCC’s *Reconfiguration Orders*,¹ the TA, as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.² The end of this quarter is significant for band reconfiguration, as it marks the midpoint of the program’s 36-month schedule.

The band reconfiguration program generally consists of two broad stages of activity: the clearing of 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120), and the relocation of Public Safety’s NPSPAC channel users to this vacated spectrum.

Stage 1: Clearing of Channels 1-120

In Stage 1, progress continues to be made in accordance with the program schedule. As of December 31, 2006, 81 percent of the 1,009 Stage 1 licensees not affected by international border areas have successfully negotiated TA-approved Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (“FRAs”). This includes 98 percent of Wave 1, 99 percent of Wave 2, and 86 percent of Wave 3. For Wave 3, of the 31 FRAs outstanding, 21 licensees entered into Planning Funding Agreements (“PFAs”) and have been granted additional time to complete planning work to arrive at a reconfiguration cost estimate. For Wave 4, as of December 31, 2006, the TA received and approved 55 FRAs out of an expected total of 167 FRAs (33 percent). The mandatory negotiation period ended on January 2, 2007, and the mediation period commenced January 3, 2007 for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees not affected by the international border areas with Mexico and Canada, with 70 mediation dockets opened.³ For those licensees affected by the border areas, the FCC announced a 90-day extension of the mandatory negotiation period in a Public Notice on December 27, 2006.⁴ There are 201 licensees in Wave 4 that hold call signs with sites located

¹ *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band*, Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004) (“*Report and Order*”); as amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2005); *Second Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004); *Public Notice*, “Commission Seeks Comment on *Ex Parte* Presentations and Extends Certain Deadlines Regarding the 800 MHz Public Safety Interference Proceeding,” 19 FCC Rcd 21492 (2004); *Third Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004); Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004) (“*Supplemental Order*”); *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005); Memorandum Opinion and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 16015 (2005) (“*Memorandum Opinion and Order*”) (collectively “*Reconfiguration Orders*”).

² 47 C.F.R. § 90.676(b)(3).

³ The remaining deals out of the 167 expected FRAs are for licensees affected by the international border areas.

⁴ See Public Notice, “Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Extension of Negotiation Period between Sprint Nextel and Border Area Non-NPSPAC Licensees in Wave 4,

in, or adjacent to, FCC-defined border areas that are affected by this extension. The development of border area frequency plans remains a necessary milestone for successful completion of the program.

With regard to physical clearing of Channels 1-120, as of December 26, 2006, Sprint Nextel reported that 70 percent of all Stage 1 non-border area FRAs, accounting for 52 percent of all Stage 1 call signs, have been cleared by licensees.⁵ The following 19 NPSPAC regions have been reported completely cleared:

<u>Wave 1 NPSPAC Regions</u>	<u>Wave 2 NPSPAC Regions</u>	<u>Wave 3 NPSPAC Region</u>
7 - Colorado	4 - Arkansas	1 - Alabama
11 - Hawaii	15 - Iowa	
13 - Illinois	16 - Kansas	
14 - Indiana	26 - Nebraska	
27 - Nevada	34 - Oklahoma	
41 - Utah	38 - South Dakota	
45 - Wisconsin	40 - Texas - Dallas Area	
	44 - West Virginia	
	46 - Wyoming	
	51 - Texas - Houston Area	
	52 - Texas - Lubbock Area	

In addition, five more NPSPAC regions have been reported cleared, except for call signs affected by the Canadian border area:

<u>Wave 2 NPSPAC Regions</u>	<u>Wave 4 NPSPAC Region</u>
12 - Idaho	2 - Alaska
22 - Minnesota	
25 - Montana	
32 - North Dakota	

Stage 1 of 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration,” WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 06-2605 (rel. Dec. 27, 2006) (“*Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*”).

⁵ December 26, 2006 is the date set by the FCC as a benchmark for clearing all Stage 1 incumbent licensees in 20 or more NPSPAC regions. See Public Notice, “Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Announces that 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration Will Commence June 27, 2005, in the NPSPAC Regions Assigned to Wave 1 and Specifies 800 MHz Reconfiguration Benchmark Compliance Dates,” WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 05-1546 (rel. May 27, 2005) (“*Benchmark Compliance Public Notice*”). During the first quarter of 2007, the TA will evaluate Sprint Nextel’s progress in clearing these NPSPAC regions.

Other NPSPAC regions that have made significant progress and have been reported as at least 90 percent cleared include:

Wave 1 NPSPAC Regions

8 – Metropolitan New York City Area
28 – Eastern Pennsylvania, Southern New Jersey, and Delaware
35 – Oregon
54 – Chicago⁶

Wave 2 NPSPAC Regions

24 – Missouri
39 – Tennessee

Stage 2: NPSPAC Reconfiguration

The mediation period for Wave 1, Stage 2 began on November 1, 2006. Including licensees who entered early mediation, a total of 323 mediation dockets were opened. As of the end of December 2006, 62 mediation dockets had been resolved; eight mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions forwarded to the FCC for *de novo* review; the parties in 15 mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their PFAs; and the parties in 35 mediation dockets had agreed on terms but not yet executed their FRAs. As described in the previous Quarterly Progress Report, the TA exercises flexibility within the mediation process to allow licensees adequate time to complete planning, and then mediates FRA negotiations to get parties to an agreement within 30 days after planning is complete. The parties in 108 mediations in Wave 1, Stage 2 had negotiated PFAs and were in varying stages of the planning process. Overall, roughly half of the Public Safety licensee population has sought advance funding for planning efforts of varying duration, and the TA expects similar outcomes in Wave 2, Stage 2. The mediation period for Wave 2, Stage 2 commenced on February 1, 2007. Including licensees who entered early mediation, a total of 224 mediation dockets were opened. In addition, the TA is currently in discussions with the parties and with vendors to assess schedule impacts and to identify those licensees in Stage 2 that will undertake their reconfiguration implementation in 2007.⁷

As a consequence of the need for most Public Safety licensees to negotiate with Sprint Nextel for planning funding and complete planning prior to entering into an FRA, the end to end negotiation of FRAs in Stage 2 is significantly longer on average than that for Stage 1 and consumes a greater portion of the available reconfiguration schedule. A significant consequence of the longer time frames for parties to reach FRAs has been a delay in the commencement of subscriber unit reconfiguration, which generally must occur prior to the reconfiguration of site infrastructure, and for larger systems can take months to complete. To expedite this part of the process, the TA has been working with stakeholders to develop standards for levels of effort for

⁶ Excluding Michigan portion in Wave 4.

⁷ In addition, Public Safety agencies that have not elected to remain in the Expansion Band will be reconfiguring their Expansion Band frequencies in the same timeframe as the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration.

subscriber unit installation labor so that parties can reach agreement and begin subscriber work without extensive negotiations. The TA expects these standards to be available to all parties in March 2007. As a further aid to negotiations, the TA published, on its website, on February 8, 2007 aggregated information regarding median costs for the key common elements of approved PFAs⁸ and will release similar information for FRAs at a later date, in accordance with the FCC's January 8, 2007 Order that allows Public Safety licensees to make disclosures to each other regarding the terms of their agreements.⁹

In closing, while the clearing of Channels 1-120 is largely on schedule, Public Safety NPSPAC reconfiguration negotiations have so far been more complex and time-consuming. The TA is therefore focusing its efforts on (1) helping parties reach reconfiguration agreements as quickly as possible, (2) working with parties and vendors to provide an expedited process for deployment of subscriber units, and (3) working with parties and vendors to assess potential impacts to the reconfiguration schedule.

⁸ See Press Release, "Transition Administrator Publishes Statistical Data on Planning Funding Agreements" (rel. Feb. 8, 2007), *available at* http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2007/02_08_07.asp; *see also* TA, "Cost Metrics for Licensee Planning Funding," *available at* http://www.800TA.org/content/implementation/Planning_Funding_Statistics.asp.

⁹ *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band*, Order, DA 07-27 (rel. Jan 8, 2007).

I. RECONFIGURATION PROGRESS

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report summarizes the status of negotiations and reconfiguration implementation by wave and stage as of the quarter ended December 31, 2006, discusses issues identified during the first half of this three-year program, and describes process changes and other specific actions the TA has taken to address issues identified to date.

A. Overview of Status Against Schedule

Reconfiguration commenced on June 27, 2005, with the voluntary negotiation period for licensees in Wave 1, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120). Stage 1 licensees are primarily small commercial, conventional systems that must be cleared from Channels 1-120 before Public Safety systems operating on NPSPAC channels (Stage 2) can be reconfigured. The voluntary and mandatory negotiation periods, as described in the TA's Regional Prioritization Plan ("RPP"), have concluded for Wave 1, Stage 1 (December 26, 2005), Wave 2, Stage 1 (April 2, 2006), Wave 3, Stage 1 (July 2, 2006), Wave 4, Stage 1 (January 2, 2007), Wave 1, Stage 2 (October 31, 2006), and Wave 2, Stage 2 (January 31, 2007).

1. Summary of Status

As further described below, significant progress has been made to date in the negotiation, and approval of FRAs for Channels 1-120 (Stage 1) as well as the clearing of these channels. As of December 31, 2006, 82 percent of FRAs for Channels 1-120 frequencies have been submitted to the TA, totaling \$46.5 million. The total number of FRAs approved by the TA represents 98 percent of anticipated FRAs for Wave 1, Stage 1; 99 percent of Wave 2, Stage 1; and 86 percent of Wave 3, Stage 1. In addition, as of December 31, 2006, approximately 52 percent (up from 36 percent as of September 30, 2006) of the Channels 1-120 call signs (non-EA) were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees, including 85 percent of Wave 1 and 93 percent of Wave 2 call signs.

For NPSPAC channels (Stage 2), these systems and FRAs generally are larger and more complex than those of Channels 1-120 licensees. As further described below, as of the end of the fourth quarter 2006, the TA received 92 FRAs totaling \$2.1 million and approved 44 FRAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees. In addition, the TA received 31 PFAs and approved 32 PFAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees (including those received at the end of the third quarter of 2006 and processed during the fourth quarter of 2006). The three-month mandatory negotiation period for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees began on November 1, 2006 and ended on January 31, 2007. As of December 31, 2006, of the 226 FRAs anticipated to be negotiated in Wave 2, Stage 2, the TA received six and approved four FRAs. In addition, 30 Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees have executed a PFA with Sprint Nextel and 61 Requests for Planning Funding ("RFPFs") are in various stages of negotiation. Licensees in Wave 3, Stage 2 and Wave 4, Stage 2 are currently in the mandatory negotiation or voluntary negotiation periods, respectively.

In the *Report and Order*, the FCC established an 18-month benchmark whereby Sprint Nextel must complete, and the TA must certify that Sprint Nextel has completed, retuning of Channels 1-120 in 20 NPSPAC regions. The FCC modified this interim benchmark in the

Supplemental Order to require more specifically that within 18 months from the start of reconfiguration, Sprint Nextel must: (1) relocate all incumbent licensees, except for Sprint Nextel and SouthernLINC, from Channels 1-120 in the first 20 NPSPAC regions the TA has scheduled for band reconfiguration; and (2) initiate retuning negotiations with all NPSPAC licensees in these 20 NPSPAC regions.¹⁰ In its May 27, 2005 Public Notice announcing the start of Wave 1 reconfiguration, the FCC announced that the start date for reconfiguration was June 27, 2005, which is also the start date for computation of the 18-month benchmark.¹¹ Accordingly, the 18-month benchmark compliance date is December 26, 2006.

On December 21, 2006, Sprint Nextel filed a letter with the FCC regarding the status of its compliance with the 18-month benchmark.¹² On January 26, 2007, Sprint Nextel filed with the FCC a complete report of the status of Channels 1-120 reconfiguration as of December 26, 2006.¹³ Sprint Nextel informed the FCC that it has retuned all non-Sprint Nextel and non-SouthernLINC Channel 1-120 incumbent licensees to comparable replacement channels in 26 NPSPAC regions and initiated negotiations with every NPSPAC channel licensee in 37 NPSPAC regions. In addition, according to Sprint Nextel, it has completed all the steps necessary to enable all Channels 1-120 incumbent licensees in an additional nine NPSPAC regions to retune their systems. In each of these nine regions, Sprint Nextel has entered into FRAs with all incumbent licensees required to reconfigure. Sprint Nextel has also either cleared comparable replacement channels or is prepared to do so upon notice from the incumbent licensee that it is ready to retune. Sprint Nextel also reported that it has initiated negotiations with every NPSPAC licensee in each of these nine NPSPAC regions. The TA will evaluate this report and Sprint Nextel's progress in clearing these NPSPAC regions during the first quarter of 2007.

2. Recent Developments Regarding Schedule

On December 27, 2006, the FCC issued a Public Notice modifying the schedule for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees that hold call signs with locations in the international border areas defined by the FCC.¹⁴ The FCC extended the mandatory negotiation period by 90 days until April 2, 2007

¹⁰ *Supplemental Order* at ¶ 53.

¹¹ *See Benchmark Compliance Public Notice*.

¹² *See* Letter from J. Goldstein, Sprint Nextel, to M. Dortch, Federal Communications Commission, "Ex Parte Presentation," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed December 21, 2006). As of the date of this report, still pending before the FCC is a Petition for Reconsideration of the FCC's 800 MHz *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, in which Sprint Nextel has requested that the FCC clarify that Sprint Nextel has discretion to select which 20 NPSPAC Regions will be subject to the 18-month benchmark.

¹³ *See* Letter from L. Krevor, Sprint Nextel, to D. Furth, Federal Communications Commission, "Progress Report for 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Jan 26, 2007).

¹⁴ *See Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*. Locations within 110 km (68.4 miles) of the U.S./Mexico border or within 140 km (87 miles) of the U.S./Canada border are within the FCC-defined border area.

and postponed the start of the mediation period until April 3, 2007 for Wave 4, Stage 1 border area licensees. During the extended negotiation period, Wave 4, Stage 1 border area licensees are not required to engage in planning or negotiation prior to the receipt of proposed replacement frequencies from the TA, though they may elect to engage in such activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs. If funding is required, licensees will need to submit an RFPF to the TA and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel.

B. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)

The following sections provide a summary of progress during the fourth quarter of 2006 of negotiations for Stage 1 reconfiguration. There has been significant progress in the negotiations of Stage 1 FRAs. As shown in the table below, as of December 31, 2006 there are 1,009 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1 licensees in all waves.¹⁵ As of December 31, 2006, 826 FRAs (82 percent) have been submitted to the TA and 822 FRAs have been approved by the TA. The total number of FRAs approved by the TA represents 98 percent of Wave 1, Stage 1; 99 percent of Wave 2, Stage 1; and 86 percent of Wave 3, Stage 1.

**Table 1: Status of FRA Negotiations for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) Licensees
as of December 31, 2006**

Wave	By Number of FRAs					By Number of Call Signs				
	Total	Submitted To TA		Approved By TA		Total	Submitted In TA		Approved By TA	
		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Wave 1	353	345	98%	345	98%	798	754	94%	754	94%
Wave 2	206	205	99%	205	99%	478	457	96%	457	96%
Wave 3	250	219	88%	215	86%	548	419	76%	418	76%
Wave 4	167	55	33%	55	33%	883	115	13%	115	13%
Wave TBD*	33	2	6%	2	6%	0	0	0%	0	0%
Total	1009	826	82%	822	81%	2707	1745	64%	1744	64%

* Wave TBD (To Be Determined) – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave. In addition, certain Economic Area (“EA”) licensees are included in this “Wave TBD” category. The TA has received and approved five FRAs that have included EA licenses.


As shown in Map 1, as of December 31, 2006, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in twelve of the 15 NPSPAC regions in Wave 1, Stage 1; 15 of the 19 NPSPAC regions in Wave 2, Stage 1; and one of the nine NPSPAC regions in Wave 3, Stage 1.¹⁶ The map shows the percentage of FRAs

¹⁵ The estimate of total FRAs does not include FRAs for licensees affected by the international border areas where revised border area frequency plans are still being developed.

¹⁶ In Wave 1, all non-EA call signs not under FRA are in mediation or are the subject of Recommended Resolutions that are pending before the FCC, with the exception of NPSPAC Region 19 (New England) which also has call signs pending availability of revised border area

under contract as of the end of the fourth quarter in comparison to the percentage under contract at the end of the third quarter (displayed as fourth quarter percentage/third quarter percentage). As Map 1 shows, there was especially marked progress during the fourth quarter in the following NPSPAC regions – Nevada, Northern California, Oregon, and Virginia – which all reached 100 percent of FRAs approved.

Map 1: Percentage of Channels 1-120 Call Signs under a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement by NPSPAC Region as of December 31, 2006 (as compared to percentage as of September 30, 2006)¹⁷



frequency plans. In Wave 2, all non-EA call signs not under FRA in the four Wave 2 NPSPAC Regions without 100 percent under FRA are pending availability of revised border area frequency plans. In Wave 3, all non-EA call signs not under FRA are in mediation, are the subject of Recommended Resolutions that are pending before the FCC, or had Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests granted and were deferred to Wave 4.

¹⁷ Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area frequency plans are available.

Appendices 1 and 2 provide information in summary form about reconfiguration status for Channels 1-120 licensees as of December 31, 2006.

1. Wave 1, Stage 1

As shown in Table 1, as of December 31, 2006, approximately 98 percent of the 353 FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 1 have been negotiated. As of December 31, 2006, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in twelve of the 15 NPSPAC regions in Wave 1, Stage 1. As of December 31, 2006, the remaining FRAs to be negotiated in Wave 1, Stage 1 were all in mediation or the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC.¹⁸ The ADR, or mediation, period for Wave 1, Stage 1 licensees began on December 27, 2005. On that date, the TA opened mediation dockets (or “cases”) for 172 incumbent licensees, including 63 Public Safety licensees that had not filed with the TA FRAs governing the reconfiguration of their call signs. In addition to the 172 mediation dockets opened for Channels 1-120 licensees, the TA opened four mediation dockets for Wave 1, Stage 1 Economic Area (“EA”) licensees that had been given the option to file new elections or modifications to previous elections to relocate to or remain in the ESMR Band by the FCC’s *Memorandum Opinion and Order*.

As of December 31, 2006, of the 176 mediation dockets opened, 168 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses; three resulted in PFAs with FRAs still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFAs; and four mediations, which had previously been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review and were the subject of FCC decisions, are either now being appealed or were in the process of being resolved by the parties. As of December 31, 2006, the FCC had issued orders resolving five of the disputes presented for *de novo* review:

- Chevron USA: The FCC ruled on the eligibility of Channels 1-120 mobile-only authorizations for cost reimbursement from Sprint Nextel.
- Manassas, Virginia: The FCC ruled on three disputes regarding transactional cost estimates for internal labor, attorneys’ fees, and consultant fees.
- State of Maryland: The FCC ruled on the applicability of “drive testing” to the licensee’s systems to determine whether it had received comparable facilities following band reconfiguration.
- Montgomery County, Maryland: The FCC ruled on several disputes between the licensee and Sprint Nextel regarding language in the proposed FRA: (1) changes in the schedule for system reconfiguration; (2) Sprint Nextel’s obligation to pay reconfiguration costs if a vendor fails to perform as obligated; (3) eligibility of testing to determine comparable facilities; (4) Sprint Nextel’s responsibility for cost overruns; (5) whether full prepayment of all estimated costs is to occur upon execution of the FRA; (6) whether the parties reserve their rights to object to or terminate the FRA; and (7) whether the FRA should include language specifying that it was drafted by Sprint Nextel and the TA. The FCC

¹⁸ Wave 1, Stage 1 licenses affected by the border areas did not enter into mediation and were deferred pending the availability of revised border area frequency plans.

also ruled on cost issues involving acceptance testing and baseline drive testing; project management and retuning coordination performed by County employees; overtime for retuning police radios; and consultant fees. A Petition for Review of the FCC's decision was filed before the end of the quarter.

- City of Boston, Massachusetts: The FCC ruled on the need for and eligibility for reimbursement by Sprint Nextel for the cost of third-party, proprietary software for management and tracking of mobile and portable radios for reconfiguration. A Petition for Review and a Petition for Reconsideration of the FCC's decision were filed before the end of the quarter.

As of December 31, 2006, the TA had received and granted a total of 14 requests for mediation arising out of the implementation of FRAs involving Wave 1, Stage 1 licensees. The disputes presented by 11 of these mediations have been resolved.

2. Wave 2, Stage 1

As shown in Table 1, as of December 31, 2006, approximately 99 percent of the 206 FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 1 have been negotiated. As of December 31, 2006, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in 15 of the 19 NPSPAC regions in Wave 2, Stage 1. The remaining FRA to be negotiated in Wave 2, Stage 1 is in mediation and it involves Southeast ESMR Band frequencies, but does not involve Channels 1-120 frequencies. The mediation period for Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees began on April 3, 2006. Prior to the formal start of the mediation period, the TA had received, investigated, and granted 14 requests for mediation involving Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees during the mandatory negotiation period. On April 3, 2006, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 75 mediation dockets, in addition to the 14 that had been previously opened, for a total of 89 Wave 2, Stage 1 mediation dockets. Of these 89 mediation dockets, 23 involved Public Safety licensees.

As of December 31, 2006, 88 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses, and one resulted in the negotiation of a PFA with an FRA still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFA.

As of December 31, 2006, the TA had received and granted two requests for mediation arising out of the implementation of FRAs involving Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees, one of which has been resolved.

3. Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Expanded Southeast ESMR Band)

Wave 3, Stage 1 has a disproportionate number of transactions given the smaller number of NPSPAC regions assigned to this wave compared to Waves 1 or 2. Wave 3 includes the Southeastern United States, which has an expanded ESMR Band plan that requires additional

licensees to be relocated out of 813.5-817 MHz/858.5-862 MHz as part of Stage 1.¹⁹ This expanded range includes more Public Safety licensees than in prior waves that covered only 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz, which is more heavily licensed with commercial entities.

As shown in Table 1, as of December 31, 2006, the TA received 219 FRAs and approved 215 FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 plus the Southeast ESMR Band) licensees out of an expected total of 250 FRAs needed to clear Channels 1-120 and the expanded ESMR Band in the Southeastern United States. Thus, 86 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 3, Stage 1 have been approved by the TA. As of December 31, 2006, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in one of the nine NPSPAC regions in Wave 3, Stage 1. Numerous licensees submitted either Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests or Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests. Licensees whose Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests were granted had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2. Licensees whose Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests were granted had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation moved to Wave 4, Stage 1. The granted requests reduced the number of expected FRAs.

The Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation period began on July 3, 2006. Prior to the formal start of the mediation period, the TA had received, investigated and granted 29 requests for early mediation involving Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees. On July 3, 2006, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 121 mediation dockets, in addition to the 29 that had been previously opened, for a total of 150 Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation dockets. Of these 150 mediation dockets, 93 involved Public Safety licensees.

As of December 31, 2006, 123 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses; 21 resulted in the negotiation of PFAs with FRAs still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFAs; and four mediations had been referred to and remain pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

As of December 31, 2006, the TA had received and granted one request for mediation arising out of the implementation of an FRA involving a Wave 3, Stage 1 licensee, which has been resolved.

4. Wave 4, Stage 1

On October 3, 2006, the mandatory negotiation period began for licensees in the 13 NPSPAC regions in Wave 4, Stage 1. Wave 4, Stage 1 now also includes licensees whose Gulf

¹⁹ Given that many of the Public Safety licensees in the ESMR Band may also be NPSPAC channels licensees, the TA in the RPP provided flexibility in negotiating the timing of reconfiguration implementation of ESMR channels (*see* RPP at 33-34). In addition, there is no Guard Band in the Southeastern United States; however, there is an Expansion Band (812.5-813.5 MHz/857.5-858.5 MHz, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 813-813.5 MHz/858-858.5 MHz) from which Public Safety licensees will be relocated unless they elect to stay.

Coast Wave Change Requests were granted. As of December 31, 2006, the TA received and approved 55 FRAs for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees out of an expected total of 167 FRAs. Thus, 33 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees have been approved.

Licensees with systems located in or affected by the international border areas with Mexico and Canada, as defined by the FCC, have not yet received replacement frequency proposals from the TA pending the availability of revised border area frequency plans. As noted in Section I.A. of this report, the FCC issued a Public Notice on December 27, 2006 modifying the schedule for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees still awaiting frequency proposals. The FCC extended the mandatory negotiation period by 90 days until April 2, 2007 and postponed the start of the mediation period until April 3, 2007 for such licensees. There are approximately 80 licensees with systems entirely within an FCC-defined border area; 48 licensees with systems both inside and adjacent to an FCC-defined border area; and 73 licensees with systems adjacent to FCC-defined border areas and close enough to be affected; for a total of 201 licensees affected by the extension. Once the frequency plans are established, it is expected there will be some consolidation of the 201 licensees into a smaller number of FRAs. Also, some portion of the 167 anticipated FRAs noted above are included in the 201 licensees delayed as further analysis indicated they were affected by the border and their frequency proposals would be delayed. This development will have an impact on the Wave 4 reconfiguration schedule. The development of border area frequency plans thus remains a necessary milestone for successful completion of the reconfiguration program.

During the extended negotiation period, licensees affected by the border areas are not required to engage in planning or negotiation prior to the receipt of proposed replacement frequencies from the TA, though they may engage in such activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs. Licensees can elect to engage in such planning and negotiation activities if they meet these criteria. If funding is required, licensees will need to submit an RFPF to the TA and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel.

For Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees who did not receive Frequency Proposal Reports (“FPRs”) by August 1, 2006, Requests for Planning Funding submissions (if necessary) will be due 45 days from the date of FPR mailing to the licensee.²⁰ The RFPF deadline will be noted in the FPR cover letter. In general, FPRs were sent only to licensees far enough away from the border so as not to be affected by revised border area frequency plans. Consistent with the FCC’s December 27, 2006 Public Notice, licensees in the FCC-defined border areas, and in areas adjacent to the border areas, will not be receiving replacement frequency proposals until revised border area frequency plans are available.

The Wave 4, Stage 1 mandatory negotiation period ended on January 2, 2007 and the mediation period began on January 3, 2007. Prior to the formal start of the mediation period, the TA had received, investigated, and granted eight requests for early mediation involving Wave 4,

²⁰ See Press Release, “Wave 4, Stage 1 – RFPF Deadline Information” (rel. Aug. 16, 2006), available at http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/08_16_06.asp.

Stage 1 licensees. On January 3, 2007, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 62 mediation dockets, in addition to the eight that had been previously opened, for a total of 70 Wave 4, Stage 1 mediation dockets. Of these 70 mediation dockets, 36 involved Public Safety licensees.

C. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band)

The following sections provide a summary of progress during the fourth quarter of 2006 of negotiations for Stage 2 reconfiguration. As planning, negotiations, and reconfiguration implementation for Stage 2 reconfigurations are generally more complex than Stage 1 reconfigurations, the TA strongly encourages all parties to engage in planning and negotiation as early as possible.

1. Wave 1, Stage 2

Wave 1, Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band relocations) is the largest of the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration waves, with 364 FRAs expected to be completed between Sprint Nextel and Public Safety agencies.²¹ These systems and FRAs generally are larger and more complex than those of Stage 1 licensees. For schedule management purposes, the TA has made available to Wave 1 NPSPAC licensees an online tool that allows them to review progress of reconfiguring Channels 1-120 specifically impacting their call signs. As of December 31, 2006, the TA received 92 FRAs and approved 44 FRAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees. Most FRAs not approved by December 31, 2006 require a work schedule from the parties for completion of reconfiguration. In addition, the TA received 31 Planning Funding Agreements and approved 32 PFAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees (including those received at the end of the third quarter of 2006 and processed during the fourth quarter of 2006). Appendix 5 provides information in summary form about the status of negotiations for NPSPAC licensees as of December 31, 2006.

As it has after each Wave, the TA assessed the conduct and results of the Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation process in early October 2006. The TA interviewed TA Mediators, Sprint Nextel, incumbent licensees, licensee representatives, and vendors to solicit their views regarding Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation and invite suggestions as to how the mediation process could be improved. The TA also engaged in outreach efforts with Public Safety and Sprint Nextel to identify the unique issues presented by Wave 1, Stage 2. As a consequence of these consultations, the TA developed new training materials for TA Mediators that focused on planning funding, interoperability, and other issues likely to arise in Wave 1, Stage 2. The TA also focused on the need to accommodate the large number of licensees expected to enter mediation on November 1, 2006, and the fact that many of these licensees were expected to either be seeking planning funding or to have only recently negotiated PFAs. In order to accommodate this anticipated

²¹ This total also includes reconfiguration of Public Safety Expansion Band licensees. Any changes to estimated deal numbers from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated).

demand, and as it has done prior to other mediation periods, the TA identified and trained new TA Mediators and conducted additional training for existing TA Mediators. The TA believes that these additional resources, the mediation experience gained in prior waves, and the ability to access Public Safety-specific experience of the TA helped to ensure that the mediation process for Wave 1, Stage 2 effectively assisted parties in reaching agreements.

The Wave 1, Stage 2 mandatory negotiation period ended on October 31, 2006 and the mediation period began on November 1, 2006. Prior to the formal start of the mediation period, the TA had received, investigated, and granted 56 requests for early mediation involving Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees. On November 1, 2006, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 267 mediation dockets, in addition to the 56 that had been previously opened, for a total of 323 Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation dockets.

As of the end of December 2006, 62 mediation dockets had been resolved. The parties in 108 mediations had negotiated PFAs and had begun the planning process. In addition, the parties in 15 mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their PFAs and the parties in 35 mediations had made similar progress with respect to FRAs. Eight mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions forwarded to the FCC for *de novo* review.

2. Wave 2, Stage 2

The three-month voluntary negotiation period for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees began on August 1, 2006 and ended on October 31, 2006.²² The three-month mandatory negotiation period for these licensees began on November 1, 2006. As of December 31, 2006, of the 226 FRAs anticipated to be negotiated in Wave 2, Stage 2, the TA received six and approved four FRAs. In addition, 30 Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees have executed a PFA with Sprint Nextel and 61 RFPPs are in various stages of negotiation.

The Wave 2, Stage 2 mandatory negotiation period ended on January 31, 2007 and the mediation period began on February 1, 2007. Prior to the formal start of the mediation period, the TA had received, investigated, and granted three requests for early mediation involving Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees. On February 1, 2007, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 221 mediation dockets, in addition to the three that had been previously opened, for a total of 224 Wave 2, Stage 2 mediation dockets.

²² See Public Notice, "Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Announces that 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration will Commence August 1, 2006, in the NPSPAC Regions Assigned to Wave 2 for NPSPAC Channels," WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 06-1371 (rel. June 30, 2006).

3. Wave 3, Stage 2

The three-month voluntary negotiation period for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees began on November 1, 2006 and ended on January 31, 2007.²³ The three-month mandatory negotiation period for these licensees began on February 1, 2007 and will end on April 30, 2007. Wave 3, Stage 2 also includes certain call signs with Southeast ESMR Band frequencies that were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 at the request of the licensee. As of December 31, 2006, the TA has received and approved one FRA in Wave 3, Stage 2.

4. Wave 4, Stage 2

The three-month voluntary negotiation period for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees commenced on February 1, 2007 and will end on April 30, 2007.²⁴ As of December 31, 2006, the TA had received and granted one request for mediation involving a Wave 4, Stage 2 licensee, which has been resolved.

D. Planning Funding

Many licensees with small or simple systems are able to include planning costs (if any) in their FRA. However, as Stage 2 (NPSPAC) licensees have entered the negotiation periods for their respective waves, the TA has observed a more significant need for advance planning funding because Public Safety licensees tend to manage larger and more complex systems. Through December 31, 2006 the TA approved 189 Planning Funding Agreements totaling \$23.3 million, with another 193 funding requests totaling \$40.3 million in negotiation between parties; of this amount, 71 requests totaling \$13.4 million had been agreed by Sprint Nextel and awaited the licensee's approval of the contract.

1. Fast Track Update

The Fast Track Option for planning funding, which is designed to streamline negotiations and enable licensees to more quickly obtain advance funding and complete their planning, was first announced in May 2006. The TA published detailed guidance regarding the Fast Track Option in June 2006, with the release of version 2.6 of the RFPPF forms and instructions.²⁵ Licensees with planning funding requests that fall outside the Fast Track guidelines are still able

²³ See Public Notice, "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces that 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration will Commence November 1, 2006, in the NPSPAC Regions Assigned to Wave 3 for NPSPAC Channels," WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 06-1939 (rel. Oct. 2, 2006).

²⁴ See Public Notice, "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces that 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration will Commence February 1, 2007, in the NPSPAC Regions Assigned to Wave 4 for NPSPAC Channels," WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 06-2618 (rel. Dec. 29, 2006).

²⁵ See Press Release, "800 MHz Reconfiguration Planning Funding Fast Track Option Details Now Available" (rel. June 15, 2006), *available at* http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/06_15_06.asp.

to follow the standard 60-day negotiation process, wherein Sprint Nextel and the licensee have 60 days of negotiations to reach a PFA, followed by mediation, if required.

The Fast Track Option has benefited both the program and numerous licensees by streamlining negotiations and enabling licensees to more quickly obtain advance funding and complete their planning. Through December 31, 2006, 21 percent of RFPFs (50 of 237 total) submitted to the TA since June 15, 2006 were eligible for the Fast Track Option.

2. Publication of Aggregated Median Cost Data

To assist those Public Safety licensees that still require Planning Funding with the preparation of planning cost estimates, and to expedite the process of negotiating PFAs with Sprint Nextel, the TA published, on its website, on February 8, 2007 aggregated information regarding median costs for the key common elements of approved PFAs.²⁶ These cost metrics identified typical licensee planning costs and rates based on system size. These metrics were gathered from approved PFAs as of November 2006 and include:

- Median incumbent licensee and vendor costs for the five major categories of work found in all PFAs: Frequency Analysis, System Inventory, Engineering & Implementation Planning, Legal Support, and Project Management;
- 25th and 75th percentile figures, defining the range within which half of the licensee population falls;
- Median incumbent licensee and vendor labor rates for these same five work categories; and
- Median distributions of incumbent licensee and vendor costs across all work categories.

The TA expects that this information should prove beneficial to stakeholders in expediting the preparation of RFPFs and negotiation of PFAs.

3. Planning Funding Statistics

For the quarter ended December 31, 2006, the TA forwarded 97 RFPFs to Sprint Nextel and the licensee for negotiation of a PFA.

²⁶ See Press Release, “Transition Administrator Publishes Statistical Data on Planning Funding Agreements” (rel. Feb. 8, 2007), *available at* http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2007/02_08_07.asp; *see also* TA, “Cost Metrics for Licensee Planning Funding,” *available at* http://www.800TA.org/content/implementation/Planning_Funding_Statistics.asp.

Table 3: Number of RFPFs Reviewed by the TA and Forwarded to Sprint Nextel and the Licensee

Period	Number of RFPFs Forwarded to Sprint Nextel
Prior to February 2006*	41
February-March 2006	35
Q2 2006 Total	92
Q3 2006 Total	171
October 2006	25
November 2006	43
December 2006	29
Q4 2006 Total	97

* Prior to February 1, 2006, RFPFs were sent directly by licensees to Sprint Nextel.

As of December 31, 2006, Sprint Nextel submitted 190 negotiated PFAs to the TA for processing, including 183 PFAs for Public Safety licensees. Of the 190 PFAs received, the TA reviewed and approved 189 PFAs, including 182 PFAs for Public Safety licensees. Beginning February 1, 2006 and through the end of December 2006, the TA received RFPFs from licensees across all waves that requested a total of approximately \$85.2 million in planning funding. The total value of negotiated PFAs as of December 31, 2006 was \$23.3 million.

E. Special Temporary Authorizations

The FCC released a Public Notice²⁷ on December 20, 2006 concerning the status of Special Temporary Authorizations (“STAs”) in the reconfiguration program. The Public Notice was in response to questions from Public Safety licensees seeking clarification as to what procedure should apply to STA requests or applications for new or modified facilities that are filed before the conclusion of the transition period. Public Safety licensees also questioned whether and, if so, under what circumstances Sprint Nextel is obligated to pay the rebanding costs of facilities that are authorized and activated on a licensee’s old frequency band during the transition period, including for STAs obtained during the application freeze by licensees either to expand or enhance the coverage or capacity of their existing systems.

The FCC noted first that under the reconfiguration program, once negotiation in a particular NPSPAC region begins, permanent licensing on pre-rebanding frequencies should cease and subsequent licensing should be consistent with the new, post-rebanding band plan. Nonetheless, because some Public Safety licensees may have a compelling need to expand their facilities on pre-rebanding frequencies prior to the availability of new channels, the FCC clarified that it will accept applications for STAs on pre-rebanding frequencies during the freeze and post-freeze period. Public Safety licensees seeking such authorizations, however, must

²⁷ *Public Notice*, “Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Provides Guidance for Public Safety Licensees with regard to License Application and Special Temporary Authorization Procedures and Payment of Frequency Relocation Costs for Public Safety Facilities Added During 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration,” DA 06-2555 (rel. Dec. 20, 2006).


demonstrate a “compelling public interest need” to improve the capacity or coverage of their systems, including why the requested facilities must be implemented prior to the end of band reconfiguration. Regarding payment, the FCC clarified that Sprint Nextel is not required to pay the frequency relocation costs of facilities authorized under an STA on pre-rebanding frequencies after the freeze has ended. In exceptional cases, however, licensees may file a waiver request that Sprint Nextel pay the relocation costs of these facilities and that the licensee reopen negotiations with Sprint Nextel to include these costs. Specific criteria for a waiver is included in the Public Notice.

F. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress

Parties have made good progress in physically clearing Channels 1-120, which is a necessary prerequisite for Public Safety to begin its reconfiguration of NPSPAC channels. The TA generally measures the status of Stage 1 reconfiguration implementation progress in two ways: (1) the number and percentage of Stage 1 call signs that Sprint Nextel reports as being cleared by licensees; and (2) clearing as a percentage of Stage 1 FRAs that Sprint Nextel has entered into with Channel 1-120 licensees.

As of December 31, 2006, approximately 52 percent (up from 36 percent as of September 30, 2006) of the Stage 1 non-EA call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees, including 85 percent of Wave 1 and 93 percent of Wave 2 call signs. Map 2 below illustrates the percentage of Channels 1-120 call signs reported cleared in each NPSPAC region as of the end of the fourth quarter of 2006 in comparison to the percentage at the end of the third quarter (displayed as fourth quarter percentage/third quarter percentage).

**Map 2: Percentage of Channels 1-120 Call Signs Reported Cleared,
by NPSPAC Region as of December 31, 2006 (as compared to percentage as of September
30, 2006)²⁸**



As of December 31, 2006, Sprint Nextel is reporting that physical reconfiguration is complete for 70 percent of all Channels 1-120 FRAs (an increase from 57 percent as of September 30, 2006), including 92 percent for Wave 1, 96 percent for Wave 2, 63 percent for Wave 3, and 16 percent for Wave 4. Table 4a below illustrates the percentage of FRAs entered into by Sprint Nextel and Channels 1-120 licensees and provides a summary of FRA milestones statistics by wave.

²⁸ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area frequency plans are available.

Table 4a: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120 as of December 31, 2006 (milestones achieved by number of FRAs)²⁹

Wave	1-120 FRAs**	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Retune		% of FRAs w/ Retune Certifications Verified by TA
							Certifications Submitted to TA	Certifications Verified by TA	
Wave 1	353	345	345	98%	326	92%	165	164	46%
Wave 2	206	205	205	99%	198	96%	96	96	47%
Wave 3	250	219	215	86%	157	63%	57	56	22%
Wave 4	167	55	55	33%	26	16%	15	14	8%
Wave TBD*	33	2	2	6%	1	0%	0	0	0%
Total:	1009	826	822	81%	708	70%	333	330	33%

* Wave TBD (To Be Determined) – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave. In addition, certain EA licensees are included in this “Wave TBD” category. The TA has received and approved five FRAs that have included EA licenses.

** Does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in the FCC-defined border areas.

A summary of site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call sign milestone statistics by wave is presented in Table 4b below. The differences between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs largely reflect the size and complexity of the systems that remain in the mediation process. Simply put, the FRAs remaining in mediation involve systems with a greater number of call signs compared to those for which the parties have been able to reach agreement.³⁰

²⁹ Sprint Nextel is the data source for columns 2 and 6. Total number of FRAs can change based on how Sprint Nextel structures various agreements with licensees.

³⁰ There are a small number of call signs in Wave 1 and 2 that are in the FCC-defined border areas that are not included in FRA data.


Table 4b: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120 as of December 31, 2006 (milestones achieved by number of call signs)

Wave	Number of Channels 1-120 Call Signs*	# in FRAs Submitted to TA	# in FRAs Approved by TA	% in FRAs Approved by TA	# Reported Clear by Licensee	% Reported Clear by Licensee	# in Retune		% in Retune
							Certifications Submitted to TA	Certifications Verified by TA	
Wave 1	798	754	754	94%	677	85%	225	224	28%
Wave 2	478	457	457	96%	446	93%	190	189	40%
Wave 3	548	419	418	76%	239	44%	57	56	10%
Wave 4	883	115	115	13%	49	6%	26	25	3%
Total:	2707	1745	1744	64%	1411	52%	498	494	18%

* Includes call signs in the FCC-defined border areas.

Viewed from a geographic perspective, Map 3 below shows the progress of 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration in the key first step of clearing the Channels 1-120 to allow the ultimate relocation of the NPSPAC band. Tracking the progress against the Channels 1-120 locations as of September 2005, very clear progress has been made in all areas of the country outside the areas adjacent to international borders.

**Map 3: Channels 1-120 Locations and Reconfiguration Status,
by NPSPAC Region as of December 31, 2006³¹**



Data from reconfigurations to date indicate it is taking licensees an average of approximately 116 calendar days to get from approval by the TA of their FRA to reporting clearing of their Channels 1-120 frequencies.³² Given the number of regions with 100 percent of FRAs approved by the TA (25 regions as of December 31, 2006) and the number of regions with more than 90 percent approved (five regions as of December 31, 2006), the TA anticipates that Channels 1-120 physical clearing in Waves 1 and 2 will be generally complete in time for NPSPAC reconfiguration implementations to proceed as scheduled. There is every reason to believe that similar progress will be made in Waves 3 and 4 as FRAs are completed.

³¹ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area frequency plans are available.

³² During that time period, FCC applications are being filed and granted to add replacement channels to the licenses of the incumbent licensee, Sprint Nextel is clearing the replacement channels to which the licensee is moving, and the licensee is implementing its reconfiguration plan.

While there has been significant progress in negotiating FRAs, clearing Channels 1-120, and completing reconfiguration implementation, the TA has observed significant lags between completing physical retuning and completing all necessary filings with the FCC and processing reconfiguration completion certifications (“Completion Certifications”) through Sprint Nextel and the TA. As of December 31, 2006, the TA verified Completion Certifications for a total of 330 FRAs for Stage 1 licensees across all waves (33 percent), including 164 Wave 1, Stage 1 FRAs (46 percent) and 96 Wave 2, Stage 1 FRAs (47 percent). The distribution is 46 percent of Wave 1, 47 percent of Wave 2, 22 percent of Wave 3, and 8 percent of Wave 4 FRAs. As discussed further in Section II.E. of this report, the average elapsed time between the completion of physical retuning and the completion the closing certification process for Channels 1-120 FRAs across all waves was approximately 3.7 months as of December 31, 2006.

G. Early Deployment of Radios

The TA and Sprint Nextel identified two licensees as pilot cases to reach agreements for early deployment of subscriber units. The TA approved those agreements in late December 2006. While these pilot cases are moving forward, subscriber deployment must proceed on a much broader scale in parallel with negotiation of reconfiguration agreements. To that end, the TA is working with vendors, licensees, and Sprint Nextel to develop standards for levels of effort for subscriber unit installation labor so that agreements for subscriber deployment can proceed in most cases without detailed negotiations. The TA expects such standards to be available to licensees in March 2007.

H. Elections

1. Economic Area Elections

The TA received 23 EA Election filings in response to its January 11, 2006 Press Release announcing the 20-day filing window for EA licensees to file new elections or modifications to previous elections to relocate to or remain in the ESMR Band.³³ During the quarter ended December 31, 2006, the TA continued to review these filings and work on and issue frequency proposals for the EA licensees.

As of December 31, 2006, the TA has received and approved five FRAs that have included EA licenses in Waves 1, 2, and 3. One EA licensee in Wave 1 and one EA licensee in Wave 3 – including EA licenses being relocated from Channels 1-120 – remain in mediation.

2. Expansion Band Elections

Through December 31, 2006, the TA received 180 Expansion Band Election filings in response to its June 28, 2005 Press Release announcing that incumbent Public Safety licensees

³³ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC’s *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Jan. 11, 2006) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline); see also http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/01_11_06.asp.

could elect to remain in the Expansion Band.³⁴ The deadlines for licensees in all waves to submit Expansion Band Election filings have passed. Appendix 4 contains a list of entities filing Expansion Band Elections as of December 31, 2006.

³⁴ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC's *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed June 30, 2005) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline); *see also* http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2005/06_28_05.asp.

II. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report summarizes key reconfiguration data for the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured

The table below provides the TA's analysis of the current population of call signs per wave. The primary source of this data is the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database, with geographical augmentation by the TA to determine NPSPAC region and other reconfiguration-specific information. This data defines the population of licenses that need to be reconfigured, and is be updated to reflect changes made to the ULS database.³⁵

Table 5: Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave³⁶

Wave	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC	Southeast ESMR Band	Total
Number of Call Signs					
Wave 1	798	336	1498	0	2632
Wave 2	478	194	560	7	1239
Wave 3 ³⁷	548	222	801	244	1815
Wave 4	883	329	1274	0	2486
TOTAL	2707	1081	4133	251	8172

Assumptions

The TA has made certain assumptions regarding the population of licenses to be reconfigured. First, for spectrum planning purposes, unless notified otherwise, the TA has assumed that all Public Safety licensees in the Expansion Band would relocate. The number of call signs to be reconfigured would decrease to the extent that the TA receives elections from Public Safety incumbent licensees opting not to reconfigure.³⁸ Through December 31, 2006, the

³⁵ The table includes site-specific (non-EA) call signs with primary fixed locations above 851 MHz. It does not include Sprint Nextel or SouthernLINC call signs. There are a number of ancillary call signs licensed in the 806-824 MHz range that are not included in the counts but will, however, be reconfigured in association with related call signs that are included in the counts. See Appendix 4 for more detailed data.

³⁶ The data in the table includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico.

³⁷ By June 30, 2006 the TA had received and approved requests to defer 38 Channels 1-120 call signs to Wave 4 from licensees in the Hurricane Katrina affected region. These call signs are still counted in the Wave 3 data.

³⁸ A list of entities that submitted Expansion Band Election filings through December 31, 2006 appears in Appendix 4.

TA has received 180 Expansion Band Election filings from Public Safety licensees to stay in the Expansion Band. Second, mobile-only systems and other secondary licenses (itinerant, demonstration, and temporary) are not generally being reconfigured in bands other than the NPSPAC channels.³⁹ Third, licenses under contract for voluntary reconfiguration agreements for which Sprint Nextel will not be seeking credit are not included in the totals. Fourth, the call sign figures in this report include only active call signs. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without an FRA; it will also be increased for new call signs granted from pending applications filed prior to the commencement of an application freeze related to reconfiguration. Fifth, the data includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico. In these areas the calls signs are defined based on the standard U.S. band plan; the data may change once revised border area frequency plans are available. Finally, the TA and Sprint Nextel have jointly defined milestones to track the status of ongoing reconfiguration activities at the licensee level.

B. Frequency Proposals

Frequency Proposal Reports (“FPRs”) were prepared and mailed in October 2006 for Wave 3, Stage 2 NPSPAC call signs granted as of September 30, 2006. As additional call signs are granted from pending applications or from additional analysis of border clearing options, FPRs will be sent in periodic batches.

As of December 31, 2006, the TA had analyzed and proposed replacement frequencies for 4,089 Wave 1, Stage 1 and Expansion Band frequencies and 28,476 Wave 1 NPSPAC frequencies; 2,035 Wave 2, Stage 1 and Expansion Band frequencies and 11,519 Wave 2 NPSPAC frequencies; 3,595 Wave 3, Stage 1 and Expansion Band frequencies and 18,279 Wave 3 NPSPAC frequencies; and 825 Wave 4, Stage 1 frequencies. During this quarter, a total of 79 additional Channels 1-120 and Expansion Band frequencies were analyzed and replacement frequencies were proposed.

The TA has sent 1,074 FPRs for Public Safety Expansion Band call signs in Waves 1-4. While Public Safety licensees may elect to remain on their current channels, for planning purposes, new frequency proposals were prepared for all relevant call signs.⁴⁰ Most of these Expansion Band frequencies will be reconfigured within the same timeframe as the NPSPAC channels once Channels 1-120 have been cleared. Call signs related to Public Safety licensees that also have 851-854 MHz channels were given priority in anticipation that those licensees would likely be the first to reconfigure out of the Expansion Band.

³⁹ As noted in Section I.E. above, on December 20, 2006, the FCC issued guidance regarding the treatment of Special Temporary Authority (STA) licenses within the Reconfiguration process. The TA is still assessing which licensees and call signs are affected by this guidance and will update Call Sign, FPR and related data as necessary during the first quarter of 2007.

⁴⁰ As of December 31, 2006, Public Safety licensees had filed elections not to reconfigure for 278 call signs.

For each Wave, FPRs for certain call signs were not generated or have been delayed for several reasons including: the call sign is licensed in the FCC-defined border area; the call sign is adjacent to these border areas and frequency planning must be done in conjunction with the yet-to-be determined specialized frequency plans for these regions; or the licensee negotiated an FRA ahead of its wave and the reconfiguration process is already underway.

Delays in sending certain individual FPRs have not materially impacted the progress of reconfiguration. Generally, the delay in an FPR is indicative of a larger issue. Once that issue is resolved, the FPR can be sent and the licensee can move on quickly with the process.

C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review

The table below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve Channels 1-120 FRAs submitted to the TA by Sprint Nextel.

**Table 6: TA FRA Review Timeframes (in Business Days)
for Approval of Channels 1-120 FRAs**

	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	TOTAL
Wave	Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements					
Wave 1	286	46	13	0	0	345
Wave 2	168	34	2	1	0	205
Wave 3	197	14	4	0	0	215
Wave 4	52	2	1	0	0	55
Wave TBD*	2	0	0	0	0	2
Total, Waves 1-4	705	96	20	1**	0	822

* Wave TBD (To Be Determined) – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave. In addition, certain EA licensees are included in this “Wave TBD” category.

** FRA required coordination with the FCC to ensure licensee’s requests were in compliance with the *Report and Order*.

The table below illustrates the TA’s time to review Channels 1-120 FRAs compared to service level targets, on a percentage basis.

Table 7: TA FRA Review Performance vs. Service Level Targets

Time to Review	Within 5 business days	Within 10 business days	Within 15 business days
Service Levels	80%	95%	100%
TA's Performance	86%	97%	100%

Additional information regarding the status of FRA review for Channels 1-120 (on a per region, per wave basis) can be found in Appendix 6.

Although the TA continues to meet its service level goals for reviewing FRAs, approximately 20 percent of the FRAs submitted to date required the issuance of a Request for Information (“RFI”). In some cases, multiple RFIs were issued to resolve deficient items, thereby delaying TA review. Examples of the types of missing information include:

- Lack of or insufficient details associated with the reconfiguration costs;
- Lack of or insufficient details associated with travel costs;
- Lack of or insufficient details associated with Project Management, Legal, or Engineering Consulting services and the associated costs identified in the FRA;
- Inconsistencies between payment terms identified in an FRA and Sprint Nextel’s supporting back office system; and
- Lack of, or insufficient details, associated with the timings of reconfiguring licensee systems or filings of applications with the FCC.

In an effort to increase program efficiencies and decrease the TA’s need to request additional information regarding the reconfiguration activities and associated costs included in FRAs, over the past six months, the TA coordinated with major stakeholders, including Public Safety licensees and leadership, the vendor community, and Sprint Nextel to develop additional guidance regarding how best to develop and communicate a Cost Estimate for an FRA. These documents, “Guidelines for Preparing a Cost Estimate” and “Cost Estimate - Schedule C Template” were published by the TA on January 9, 2007 and are available for download on the TA’s website.

As of December 31, 2006, the number of Stage 2 (NPSPAC) FRAs approved by the TA was 53, of which the TA processed 50 within 5 days or less, with 3 requiring 6 to 10 days to process. Relative to Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) reconfigurations, the TA anticipates, in many cases, Stage 2 FRAs to include more complex reconfigurations and associated cost estimates. Additional information regarding the status of FRA review for NPSPAC channels (on a per region, per wave basis) can be found in Appendix 7.

D. FCC Reconfiguration Applications

The TA has worked with FCC staff to define and implement data transfers to authenticate applications related to reconfiguration. The table below summarizes the status of reconfiguration applications for site-specific call signs submitted to the FCC through December 31, 2006.

Table 8: Reconfiguration FCC Application Milestones for Channels 1-120 Call Signs as of December 31, 2006

Wave	Updated Population as of 12/31/2006	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC ⁴¹	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs					
Wave 1	798	742	742	694	539
Wave 2	478	435	435	438	350
Wave 3	548	359	321	296	108
Wave 4	883	98	86	69	43
TOTAL	2707	1634	1584	1497	1040

The process defined in conjunction with the FCC and Sprint Nextel for processing reconfiguration related applications continues to function well. For Private Mobile Radio Service (“PMRS”) applications that do not require public notice, the average time from filing to grant is down to approximately 6.5 calendar days. Applications for Specialized Mobile Radio (“SMR”) systems that may require a 30-day public notice are being granted in 43.6 calendar days.

Appendix 5 contains additional information regarding the TA’s FCC reconfiguration application milestones (on a per region basis) as of December 31, 2006, respectively.

E. Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing)⁴²

As of December 31, 2006, the TA had received completion certifications (“Completion Certifications”) for 335 FRAs and two PFAs. Of these, the TA had reviewed and certified as complete 332 FRAs and two PFAs. The TA is in the process of reviewing the remaining three completion certifications. Appendix 8 contains the summary of deals that have closed as of December 31, 2006.

The number of FRA Completion Certifications⁴³ submitted to the TA increased by 85 during the quarter ended December 31, 2006. The number of deals for which physical reconfiguration was completed but not yet closed increased by 54 during the quarter ended December 31, 2006. Within this category, the number of deals in the Actual Cost Reconciliation and Closing Processes increased 39 and 20, respectively, as of December 31, 2006. These

⁴¹ Some FRAs stipulate certain call signs to be cancelled rather than reconfigured. Such cancellations are considered surrender applications for the purpose of this analysis. It is possible therefore that there will be more Surrender Applications than Reconfiguration Applications for one or more waves.

⁴² For purpose of this report in all cases where the date is shown as December 31, 2006, all data is as of December 1, 2006

⁴³ From this point forward all subsequent information is related to FRA Completion Certifications only.

increases were offset by a decrease of five deals in the pending FCC Filing or both FCC Filing and Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes.

The average amount of time it takes deals to consummate the closing under an FRA once the physical reconfiguration is completed remained consistent with the prior quarter at 3.7 months. However, there continues to be delays for those deals for which the physical reconfiguration was completed but not yet closed as evidenced by an increase in the average elapsed time from completion of physical reconfiguration to December 31, 2006 of approximately 4.8 months, as compared to approximately 3.6 months for the period ended September 30, 2006.

As of December 31, 2006, the major area for delays was in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process where 54% of the deals at this stage were also at this same stage as of September 30, 2006. The average time to complete the Actual Cost Reconciliation under an FRA once the reconfiguration was completed was impacted by delays in administering the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process as well as incumbent licensee delays in processing change notices and/or in submitting to Sprint Nextel accurate and/or timely information required for the Actual Cost Reconciliation. Sprint Nextel expects to see an improvement in moving deals through the process during the first quarter of 2007. To minimize the efforts and times associated with the closing process, incumbent licensees should review the 800 MHz Transition Administrator guidance, *Change Notice Process Fact Sheet*, *Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet* and *Incumbent Labor Reimbursement Policy* at www.800TA.org. The TA will continue to monitor the closing process.

The status of deals, in terms of numbers of FRAs in each stage of the contract closing process, is listed in the table below.

Table 9: Status of FRAs in the Closing Process (after completion of physical reconfiguration) as of December 31, 2006⁴⁴

Status of FRA	Subtotal	Total
Closed		332
Pending TA Completion Certification Review		3
Pending Sprint Nextel Closing Process:		86
Sprint Nextel Execution of Completion Certifications	24	
Sprint Nextel Receipt of Signed Completion Certifications from Incumbent Licensees	32	
Sprint Nextel Preparation of Completion Certifications	30	
Pending Actual Cost Reconciliation Process:		253
Sprint Nextel Pending Receipt of Signed Reconciliation Statement	53	
Sprint Nextel Waiting for Receipts or Preparing Actual Cost Reconciliation Statement	161	
Sprint Nextel Preparing Request for Receipt Letter	39	
Pending Finalization of all Requisite Regulatory Filings		2
Pending Both Actual Cost Reconciliation and Finalization of all Requisite Regulatory Filings		38
Total FRAs for which Physical Reconfiguration is Complete		714

⁴⁴ Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

III. COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS

To facilitate successful 800 MHz band reconfiguration, licensees and other stakeholders must have rapid and consistent access to accurate reconfiguration information, processes and procedures. As such, the TA executes an approach that is intended to engage, educate, and equip the impacted stakeholders with the knowledge necessary to plan and implement reconfiguration activities. The TA employs a multi-pronged approach, including direct calling campaigns, conference and event attendance (“Stakeholder Outreach”), virtual training (Webinars), and interaction with industry press to accomplish these goals.

A. Stakeholder Inquiries

As noted in previous Quarterly Progress Reports, the TA has established a “Contact Center” to receive and process questions, requests for information, etc., regarding reconfiguration and the TA’s activities. The TA receives inquiries from a variety of stakeholders: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and the trade press. During the fourth quarter, the TA received a total of 4,737 inquiries to the Contact Center (1,690 in October 2006; 1,587 in November 2006; 1,460 in December 2006). Access to the Contact Center is critically important to ensure that licensees and other stakeholders are able to obtain information to prepare for and implement the reconfiguration of their system(s).

B. TA-Produced Materials , Mailings and the TA’s Website

During the fourth quarter, the TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including fact sheets, licensee forms, press releases, direct mailings, and other materials as listed below. Many of these items are posted on the TA’s website (www.800TA.org).

- ADR Fact Sheet for NPSPAC Licensees – This Fact Sheet was developed to support NPSPAC licensees participating in mediation. It describes what these licensees may expect during ADR and what they can do to prepare before mediation begins.
- RFPF Checklist Fact Sheet – This Fact Sheet provides a checklist similar to the review steps conducted by the TA in assessing all RFPF submissions. Licensees may use the checklist to conduct a quality assessment of an RFPF prior to submitting the documents for TA review.

The TA issued the following press releases during the fourth quarter:

- “Wave 3, Stage 2 Reconfiguration - Start of Voluntary Negotiations and RFPF Deadlines” (October 23, 2006)
- “Wave 4 - Expansion Band Election Updates” (October 25, 2006)
- “Wave 1, Stage 2 - Start of Alternative Dispute Resolution” (November 2, 2006)

The TA sent the following mailings to licensees during this quarter:

- Frequency Proposal Reports continued to be mailed to Wave 3, Stage 2 (NPSPAC), Wave 3, Stage 2 Expansion Band, and Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees.
- Day 90 Announcement letters were mailed on October 25, 2006 to Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees. This mailing informed these licensees that they would be entering ADR if they did not have an FRA submitted on their behalf by the end of the mandatory negotiation period.
- Day 150 ADR Announcement letters were mailed on December 1, 2006 for Wave 4, Stage 1 and on December 31, 2006 for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees for whom an FRA had not been submitted to the TA. This mailing reminded these licensees that they would be entering ADR if they did not have an FRA submitted on their behalf by the end of the mandatory negotiation period.
- Day 175 ADR Announcement letters were mailed on October 26, 2006 for Wave 1, Stage 2 and on December 26, 2006 for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees for whom an FRA had not been submitted to the TA. This mailing reminded these licensees that they would be entering ADR if they did not have an FRA submitted on their behalf by the end of the mandatory negotiation period.
- Day 60 Announcement letters were mailed weekly to licensees whose 60-day RFPF negotiations window with Sprint Nextel has expired. This mailing encourages licensees to enter into TA mediation if a PFA has not been reached within 60 calendar days.

During fourth quarter, the TA also planned for the January 2007 publication of the following documents:

- Updated Mutual Aid and Interoperability Fact Sheet
- Guidelines for Preparing a Cost Estimate and Cost Estimate template
- Change Notice Process Fact Sheet and Change Notice Form
- Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet
- Updated Incumbent Labor Reimbursement Policy.

These new or modified materials, in addition to materials previously published, are intended to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to effectively plan, negotiate, and implement reconfiguration.

As discussed in previous Quarterly Progress Reports, the TA's website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. The TA's listserv feature – TA Alerts – allows website visitors to sign up to receive emails from the TA with the latest updates and news, and to date has 168 subscribers. During the fourth quarter, the TA added a page for FCC Orders, obtained and posted vendor equipment replacement matrices, and made other updates to the website as necessary. In addition, planning and preparation occurred for website revisions and additional documents to be posted in early 2007. The TA's website received an estimated 23,500 hits during the fourth quarter.

C. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training**1. Meetings and Conferences**

Meetings and events are a central component of the TA's ongoing efforts to communicate with and educate impacted stakeholders and licensees. Meetings and conferences attended by TA representatives in this quarter are provided in Appendix 9. In the upcoming quarter, the TA will attend the following events:

- 2007 Louisiana APCO – 1st Quarter Meeting and Symposium, Louisiana, January 17-19, 2007;
- APCO Mid-Winter Summit, Orlando, Florida, February 12-14, 2007;
- APCO Western Regional Conference (CIPRA), Long Beach, California, February 26 – March 1, 2007; and
- IWCE Annual Conference, Las Vegas, Nevada, March 26-30, 2007.

2. Webinars

The TA has continued to conduct numerous Webinars that provide information on all facets of reconfiguration. The Webinar series to date has totaled 37 sessions with 850 attendees across the following stakeholder groups: 62 percent Public Safety; 2.3 percent Critical Infrastructure Industries licensees; 0.7 percent Business/Industrial Land Transportation licensees; and 22.7 percent other (consultants, vendors, etc.), with the remainder, approximately 12 percent, unidentified (these percentages do not include all Webinars as some sessions were not polled). During the fourth quarter of 2006, the TA offered the following five Webinar modules:

- Guidelines for Successfully Completing the Negotiation Phase
- Developing a Cost Estimate
- Reconfiguration Implementation
- Licensee Lessons Learned
- Alternative Dispute Resolution

Webinars have proven to be an effective, low-cost method for reaching wide audiences and providing interactive and just-in-time guidance. The TA solicited feedback following each delivery. According to participant surveys, reaction to the Webinars has been overwhelmingly positive, with participants indicating that the opportunity for live discussion is the most helpful aspect. Some commonly asked categories of questions include:

- Guidelines for Successfully Completing the Negotiation Phase: For example, “I am in wave 1 and recently negotiated a PFA. I have not begun the FRA negotiation as of yet. How will this affect my agency due to the expiration of the mandatory negotiation period at the end of the month?”; “Where should we expect negotiations to actually take place (locally, out of state, etc.)?”; and “Can we see some examples of completed FRA's (with names removed) so we can have some idea of what to look for or avoid during negotiations?”

- Developing a Cost Estimate: For example, “Do we submit the FRA to Sprint Nextel or the TA first?”; “Will the Cost Estimate for the installation of mobiles include travel rates for the radio technicians?”; and “After the FRA is submitted and approved, if additional costs are realized after the FRA is approved by all parties, is there a mechanism for requesting approval of these additional funds?”
- Licensee Lessons Learned: For example, “Will Motorola bill Sprint Nextel directly in anyone’s experience or will we have to pay them and then get reimbursed?”; “We are hearing about nondisclosure agreements. Have nondisclosure agreements been a part of those FRA agreements lately?”; and “We are a several channel trunking system and probably can only retune one channel at a time. Has anyone out there stipulated a requirement that no channels can ever be taken out of service and if so how do you accomplish the retuning since it really is necessary to take one channel down during the time that is being retuned?”

3. Licensee Outreach Campaigns

In an effort to further the progress of reconfiguration, and in response to specific requests from the Public Safety community, the TA increased its communication and outreach this quarter. Specifically, the TA executed an outbound communications campaign to licensees in Wave 1, Stage 2 to obtain status information concerning their PFAs and Cost Estimates. This effort helped the TA gain a better understanding as to where licensees were in the process of their reconfiguration efforts. This calling campaign began 30 days before the end of the mandatory negotiation period and it provided licensees with an opportunity to receive TA assistance to help complete their FRA. Finally, it identified those licensees that might be impacted by mediation.

The TA also executed an outbound communications campaign to licensees in Wave 2, Stage 2 to obtain status on their PFAs and Cost Estimates. This effort helped the TA get a better understanding as to where licensees were in the process of their reconfiguration efforts. This calling campaign began was started at the beginning of the mandatory negotiation period. This effort provided a chance for the TA to gauge how licensees were progressing in the reconfiguration effort and identified those that required assistance. Additionally, the TA executed an outbound communications campaign to licensees in Wave 2, Stage 2 and Wave 3, Stage 2 in an effort to gather system description information that the TA did not previously retain. This campaign was specifically looking for the number of mobiles, portables, sites, channels, system type, and forecasted completion date for planning. This provided the TA with a more comprehensive understanding of the types and size of systems that were being reconfigured.

IV. FINANCIAL

This section provides information for the fourth quarter of 2006 regarding the TA's fees and expenses and the external audit. The TA will file at a later date a supplement to this Quarterly Progress Report that will include other financial information for the fourth quarter of 2006, including reconfiguration expenditures, letters of credit, and 800 MHz incumbent licensee reviews.

A. Transition Administrator

1. Fees, Expenses, and Staffing

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended December 31, 2006 were \$9.46 million in fees and \$0.18 million in expenses, for a total of \$9.64 million, which is approximately \$1.36 million lower than the forecast for the fourth quarter. Additional details are provided in Appendix 10.

TA staffing as of December 31, 2006 consisted of 76 Full Time Equivalents ("FTEs"). The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending March 31, 2007 are estimated at \$11.16 million in fees and \$0.27 million in expenses, for a total of \$11.43 million.

2. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, the TA reports that BearingPoint received \$2,480,477 from Sprint Nextel in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended December 31, 2006.⁴⁵

B. External Audit

In the second quarter of 2006, Reznick Group, the external auditor selected by the TA, commenced the annual audit of program expenditures for the period from August 6, 2004 through December 31, 2005. On December 14, 2006, the TA filed with the FCC its Annual Report and Financial Statement for the period August 6, 2004 to December 31, 2005.

⁴⁵ See Independence Management Plan for the 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.1), WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed May 9, 2005), at 4.

Appendix 1

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	353	353	347	345	345
Multiregion	100	100	98	97	97
PSR TBD (b)	1	1	0	0	0
6	35	35	35	35	35
7	11	11	11	11	11
8	33	33	33	33	33
11	9	9	9	9	9
13	18	18	18	18	18
14	8	8	8	8	8
19	15	15	15	15	15
20	17	17	14	13	13
27	22	22	22	22	22
28	24	24	24	24	24
35	14	14	14	14	14
41	7	7	7	7	7
42	15	15	15	15	15
45	7	7	7	7	7
54	17	17	17	17	17
Wave 2	206	206	205	205	205
Multiregion	69	69	69	69	69
PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0
4	9	9	9	9	9
12	4	4	4	4	4
15	5	5	5	5	5
16	9	9	9	9	9
17	9	9	9	9	9
22	26	26	26	26	26
24	12	12	12	12	12
25	4	4	4	4	4
26	4	4	4	4	4
32	0	0	0	0	0
34	2	2	2	2	2
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	24	24	23	23	23
40	11	11	11	11	11
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	0	0	0	0	0
49	2	2	2	2	2
51	6	6	6	6	6
52	8	8	8	8	8
Wave 3	250	248	220	219	215
Multiregion	76	76	70	69	68
PSR TBD (b)	9	7	0	0	0
1	15	15	13	13	13
9	54	54	50	50	49
10	35	35	30	30	29
18	14	14	14	14	14
23	13	13	11	11	11
31	19	19	19	19	18
37	5	5	5	5	5
47	7	7	5	5	5
48	3	3	3	3	3

Appendix 1

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
Wave 4	167	127	75	55	55
Multiregion	41	29	14	11	11
PSR TBD (b)	1	1	1	1	1
2	5	5	5	4	4
3	33	22	17	14	14
5	15	2	0	0	0
18	1	1	1	1	1
21	13	13	2	0	0
29	3	3	1	0	0
30	8	7	4	4	4
33	5	5	2	2	2
36	13	13	6	2	2
43	3	3	3	2	2
50	8	6	5	4	4
53	8	7	7	5	5
54	5	5	4	4	4
55	5	5	3	1	1
Wave TBD (c)	33	16	5	2	2
TOTAL	1009	950	852	826	822

Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave TBD (To Be Determined) - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave. In addition, certain Economic Area ("EA") licensees are included in this Wave Undetermined category.

Appendix 2

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/06	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 12/31/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 12/31/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 12/31/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 12/31/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	798	774	765	754	754	742	742	740	677	694	539
6	CA - North	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	70	74	51
7	Colorado	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	20
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	90	90	76
11	Hawaii	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	48
13	Illinois	40	40	40	40	40	37	37	40	40	38	32
14	Indiana	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	18
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	81	57	54	49	49	49	49	49	43	43	43
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	66	60	54	54	54	54	54	52	52	22
27	Nevada	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	55
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	65	63	57
35	Oregon	48	48	48	48	48	47	47	47	46	46	41
41	Utah	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	16
42	Virginia	52	52	52	52	52	44	44	39	32	49	17
45	Wisconsin	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	10
54	Chicago	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	33	33	33
Wave 2	Subtotal	478	459	457	457	457	435	435	456	446	438	350
4	Arkansas	39	39	39	39	39	38	38	39	39	38	13
12	Idaho*	15	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	13
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	14
16	Kansas	33	33	33	33	33	32	32	33	33	33	32
17	Kentucky	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	15	14	16	9
22	Minnesota*	76	70	70	70	70	68	68	70	70	70	63
24	Missouri	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	35	35	34
25	Montana*	19	16	16	16	16	11	11	16	16	16	11
26	Nebraska	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	10
32	North Dakota*	12	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
34	Oklahoma	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	17
38	South Dakota	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
39	Tennessee	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	39	42	35
40	TX - Dallas	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	37	33
44	West Virginia	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
46	Wyoming	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
49	TX - Austin	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	8	7	6
51	TX - Houston	41	41	41	41	41	39	39	41	41	40	32
52	TX - Lubbock	42	42	42	42	42	33	33	42	42	33	23
Wave 3	Subtotal	548	487	433	419	418	359	321	302	239	296	108
1	Alabama	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	2
9	Florida	195	191	179	176	176	165	127	104	85	78	57
10	Georgia	49	49	47	45	44	34	34	33	28	40	5
18	Louisiana	80	79	47	44	44	39	39	44	31	41	19
23	Mississippi	22	22	19	18	18	17	17	17	11	18	9
31	North Carolina	67	67	65	61	61	44	44	44	38	47	14
37	South Carolina	37	37	36	35	35	20	20	20	6	32	1
47	Puerto Rico	66	20	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	1
48	US Virgin Islands	23	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	0

Appendix 2

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/06	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 12/31/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 12/31/06 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 12/31/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 12/31/06 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 4	Subtotal	883	203	138	115	115	98	86	70	49	69	43
2	Alaska*	23	6	6	6	6	3	3	3	3	6	6
3	Arizona*	76	54	45	39	39	39	33	23	17	26	13
5	CA - South*	139	11	6	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
21	Michigan*	61	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	25	22	17	17	17	15	10	9	7	7	4
30	NY - Albany*	95	13	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
33	Ohio*	105	33	12	8	8	8	8	4	1	6	0
36	Pennsylvania*	12	7	6	5	5	5	5	3	3	4	3
43	Washington*	152	21	20	19	19	8	8	8	7	9	9
50	TX - El Paso*	11	10	9	7	7	6	6	7	6	6	4
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	15	10	9	9	9	8	8	2	2	2
54	MI portion of Chicago*	9	7	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	159	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2707	1923	1793	1745	1744	1634	1584	1568	1411	1497	1040

Notes:

- Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.

Appendix 3

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	364	353	123	92	44
Multiregion	38	38	13	10	4
PSR TBD (b)	15	8	0	0	0
6	35	34	15	12	3
7	21	21	2	1	1
8	49	48	21	13	4
11	4	4	0	0	0
13	5	5	0	0	0
14	24	23	11	10	9
19	48	48	22	15	7
20	24	24	5	4	3
27	4	4	1	0	0
28	20	20	12	10	5
35	8	8	3	3	0
41	6	6	2	0	0
42	25	25	4	2	0
45	4	3	0	0	0
54	34	34	12	12	8
Wave 2	226	212	27	6	4
Multiregion	10	8	0	0	0
PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0
4	9	9	1	1	1
12	3	2	0	0	0
15	15	15	1	0	0
16	20	20	3	0	0
17	16	16	5	2	2
22	19	19	0	0	0
24	16	16	3	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0
26	9	9	2	1	0
32	3	3	0	0	0
34	13	12	0	0	0
38	0	0	0	0	0
39	26	17	5	0	0
40	30	30	4	2	1
44	1	1	1	0	0
46	2	2	1	0	0
49	14	13	0	0	0
51	18	18	1	0	0
52	2	2	0	0	0

Appendix 3

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 3	222	44	2	1	1
Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0
PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0
1	14	12	0	0	0
9	59	5	1	1	1
10	25	4	0	0	0
18	36	3	0	0	0
23	15	8	1	0	0
31	40	10	0	0	0
37	27	2	0	0	0
47	6	0	0	0	0
48	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	200	13	0	0	0
Multiregion	3	1	0	0	0
PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0
2	3	3	0	0	0
3	15	0	0	0	0
5	32	2	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
21	21	0	0	0	0
29	8	0	0	0	0
30	13	2	0	0	0
33	43	1	0	0	0
36	9	0	0	0	0
43	21	2	0	0	0
50	3	2	0	0	0
53	18	0	0	0	0
54	6	0	0	0	0
55	5	0	0	0	0
Wave TBD (c)	74	58	9	5	4
TOTAL	1086	680	161	104	53

Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave TBD (To Be Determined) - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave.

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WNDX449	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW513	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW653	860.7375
Dothan, City of	AL	WPQD755	858.4875
Houston, County of	AL	WPQH284	857.7625, 858.2625
Mobile, County of	AL	WNUX634	857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, 858.4625
Northport, City of	AL	WNJD323	857.7125
Bentonville, City of	AR	WPPH830	860.2625
Fayetteville, City of	AR	WPJI661	860.2375, 860.7375
Hot Springs, City of	AR	WPHP482	860.2625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WNVN873	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WPLY444	860.2125
Paragould, City of	AR	WPFN317	860.2875
Arizona, State of	AZ	WNMY720	860.2125, 860.9375
Flagstaff, City of	AZ	WPWK889	860.4375
Paradise Valley, Town of	AZ	WNMW364	860.2375
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WNMT600	860.9875
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM866	860.2375
Lassen Union School District	CA	WPEF987	860.7875
Lodi, City of	CA	WNLH967	860.2125
Los Angeles, County of	CA	KNER447	860.2625
Los Angeles, County of	CA	WPDV636	860.2625
Marin, County of	CA	KNJH407	860.9375
Marin, County of	CA	WPFQ266	860.4625
Merced, City of	CA	WPPX706	860.4375
Monterey Salinas Transit Authority	CA	WPRI866	860.2125
Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency (Stanislaus County)	CA	WNVJ731	860.9375
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WNIB734	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX476	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX750	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX751	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX752	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY325	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY394	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ774	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ776	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPNP991	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WQZ938	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Palo Alto, City of	CA	WNFI750	860.7125
Placer, County of	CA	WPIE742	860.9375
Pleasant Hill, City of	CA	WNMP521	860.4375
Sacramento City Unified School District	CA	WNHX890	860.4625
Sacramento, County of	CA	WNBQ990	860.7125
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPDD467	860.2125, 860.4375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPWV729	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPXL514	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK496	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK705	860.4875
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB563	860.2500, 860.4750
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB565	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB566	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB567	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB568	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB575	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB576	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB578	860.2250, 860.9500
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	KNGD851	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP411	n/a*

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP522	860.4625
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNNF327	860.4375
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQA782	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQF830	860.2125
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS412	860.9625
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS413	860.9625
Sierra Community College District	CA	WPIE754	860.9625
Watsonville, City of	CA	WPKI847	860.2375
Arapahoe, County of	CO	WNIJ887	860.3125
Aurora, City of	CO	WNAU532	860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875
Pueblo, City of	CO	WQAL936	860.7125
Cromwell, Town of	CT	WNKR770	860.9625
District of Columbia	DC	KNJU834	860.9875
District of Columbia	DC	WPXT459	860.9875
Boynton Beach, City of **	FL	WNCE606	860.2875
City of West Palm Beach	FL	KNER586	860.7125
City of West Palm Beach	FL	WNKD520	860.2125
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNFP698	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNRE843	860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNSC913	860.2375, 860.7375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY728	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY732	860.7125
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPTF860	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375
Miami, City of	FL	KNGR376	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Miami, City of	FL	WNCE612	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WNHE888	860.3125, 860.3375
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WPRS827	860.3125, 860.3375
The School Board of Broward County, Florida	FL	KNJJ560	860.9375, 860.9625
Volusia, County of	FL	WNHE867	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625
Volusia, County of	FL	WPFQ272	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Volusia, County of	FL	WPPW666	860.2125
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPQZ565	860.4625
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPRG484	860.4625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG714	860.2625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG746	860.9875
Iowa State Fair	IA	WPSS595	860.9375
Story, County of	IA	WPQI296	860.4375
The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics	IA	WPKN529	860.2125
Emmett Independent School District	ID	WPYY420	860.8875
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP622	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP626	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIS652	860.7625
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District	ID	WPUD400	860.7875
City Colleges of Chicago	IL	WNMA681	860.2375
Decatur, City of	IL	WNKZ536	860.2625, 860.4625
Deerfield, Village of (Police Department)	IL	WNGC398	860.7375
Effingham, County of	IL	WPNY754	860.4875
Gurnee, Village of **	IL	WNAR378	860.2625
Gurnee, Village of **	IL	WNBG488	n/a*
Illinois, State of	IL	WQCT712	860.9375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPLR422	860.2625
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPMR362	860.7375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPPD278	860.9375
Jefferson, County of	IL	WPTX994	860.4375
La Salle County of	IL	WPUK993	860.4875
Lansing, Village of	IL	WNNS478	860.7375
Marion County ET&SB	IL	KNNT505	860.9875
Normal, Town of	IL	WPIX239	860.4875
Ogle County Sheriff's Office	IL	WQCV211	860.7125

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Peoria County Sheriffs Department	IL	WQAB235	860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Rolling Meadows, City of	IL	KNJU694	860.2125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WPNW387	860.7125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WQCX272	n/a*
Westmont, Village of **	IL	WNN0865	860.2625
Westmont, Village of **	IL	WQBR321	860.2625
Williamson, County of	IL	WPKM918	860.7625
Floyd, County of	IN	WPNQ948	860.4875
Indiana University	IN	WPCW647	860.8875
Mishawaka, City of **	IN	WNPk748	860.4375, 860.9875
Steuben, County of	IN	WPDU229	860.2125
Tippecanoe, County of	IN	WNQH693	860.7375
Garden City, City of	KS	WPMI551	860.4375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WNWF608	860.7625, 860.9375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WPGP232	860.3125
Kentucky, Commonwealth of	KY	WQCP214	860.2625
Powderly, City of	KY	WQCD705	860.4375
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPMA320	860.7125
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPSQ740	860.7125
East Baton Rouge, Parish of	LA	KNJU727	860.7125
Lafourche, Parish of	LA	WPRX834	860.9375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII532	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII533	860.2375, 860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII534	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII535	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII536	860.4375, 860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNMA687	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE601	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE605	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE609	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE613	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE617	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE629	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE633	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE641	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE657	860.2375, 860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE661	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHF287	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHG955	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIB392	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR915	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR919	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR923	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPJI711	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPKD955	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMI999	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMQ475	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPNS672	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE847	860.2125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE848	860.9375
Madison, Parish of	LA	WPMA348	857.9625
Allegany, County of	MD	WPRS598	860.4875
Garrett, County of (Board of Education)	MD	WPRU936	860.7375
Salisbury, City of	MD	WPHQ675	860.7625
Somerset, County of	MD	WPWR884	860.9625
Worcester, County of	MD	WPNW557	860.4625, 860.7125
Clay, County of	MN	WPHY860	861.4625
Dakota, County of	MN	WPEP246	860.7375
Metropolitan Council/Metro Transit	MN	WPQH695	860.4375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPER943	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG359	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG360	860.2625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYM573	860.9875
Moorhead, City of	MN	WPHY859	860.4625
Blue Springs, City of	MO	WNDG561	860.4875
Curators of the University of Missouri	MO	WPJI572	860.2125
Saint Joseph, City of	MO	WPDC582	860.4875
State of Missouri, Department of Corrections	MO	WPUK277	860.9375
City of Columbus	MS	WPNS534	858.2125
Jackson-Evers International Airport Authority	MS	WQDD668	857.9875
Meridian, City of	MS	WQAP232	858.2125
Smith, County of	MS	WPKG621	858.4375
South Mississippi State Hospital	MS	WPQJ606	857.9875
Asheville, City of	NC	WNXR226	860.7625, 860.9875
McDowell, County of	NC	KNNP950	860.9625
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WNGU623	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WNRU500	860.4625, 860.4875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM257	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM264	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN591	860.7375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX341	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX343	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOZ292	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPPB719	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPRJ405	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPSM605	n/a*
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPYC603	860.4375
Fargo, City of	ND	KNNT448	860.2125
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER503	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER504	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPPY921	860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPSZ331	860.3375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPTA210	860.3375
Scotts Bluff, County of	NE	WPKU672	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375
Manchester, City of	NH	WPDK444	860.4875
Atlantic City, City of	NJ	WPRS952	860.7625
Camden, City of	NJ	WNWG655	860.9875
Camden, City of	NJ	WQAF461	860.9875
Delaware River Port Authority	NJ	WPXY839	860.9875
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD570	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD571	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD572	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD573	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD574	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD575	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD576	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD577	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD578	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD579	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD580	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS409	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS410	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNII538	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNJI598	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNPS351	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC890	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC891	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXZ718	860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNZZ317	860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPSE858	860.2125, 860.7125

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPUH543	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPYQ725	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WQBY316	860.4625, 860.9625
Vineland, City of	NJ	WNXZ709	860.4625, 860.9625
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX312	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX313	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNBX914	860.7375, 860.9875
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNER623	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML463	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML524	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML525	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML526	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WQCI937	860.4375
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KB23096	n/a*
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH690	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH691	n/a*
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB684	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB732	860.3875, 860.4125
Lincoln, County of	OK	WPVM206	860.7375
Bend, City of	OR	WNVN568	860.2125, 860.9625
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPHE354	860.7375, 860.9875
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPJR649	860.2125, 860.9375
Jackson County Juvenile Department	OR	WQCC874	860.2375
Redmond, City of	OR	WQAY688	860.7625
Salem, City of	OR	WPKB609	860.4875
Adams, County of **	PA	WPZA535	860.4375
Allentown, City of	PA	WPJK416	860.9375
Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University	PA	WPGD607	860.8375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.2375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.4625
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPMZ512	860.7375
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPQD915	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPYT624	860.7125, 860.9625
Pittsburgh, City of	PA	KNJH332	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625
School District of Philadelphia	PA	WNKV367	860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125
Rhode Island, State of	RI	WNCX326	860.3125
Charleston, County of	SC	WNVH447	860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375
Charleston, County of	SC	WPRR560	860.4625
Clemson, City of	SC	WPKU649	860.7375
Greenwood, County of	SC	WPOX642	857.7375
South Carolina State Ports Authority	SC	WPLU704	860.7125
South Carolina, State of	SC	WPWM262	860.9875
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPGR361	860.4625, 860.9375
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPKZ275	860.2125
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPLZ536	860.2375, 860.2625
Athens Utilities Board	TN	WQDM490	857.7375
Clarksville, City of	TN	WQCL650	860.2375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Memphis Shelby County Airport Authority	TN	WPUQ392	860.2625
Memphis, City of	TN	WPAB818	860.3375, 860.3875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPKH401	860.9375
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPZB947	858.4875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WQBY860	857.9875, 860.2375
Abilene, City of	TX	WPFQ263	860.4375, 860.9625
Anderson County, Texas	TX	WPYA801	860.2375, 860.9875
Austin, City of	TX	WNBZ704	860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYE613	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYU318	860.4375

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2006

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
City Public Service	TX	WNLI313	860.2875, 860.3375
Dallas, City of	TX	WNBG573	860.7375, 860.9875
Harris, County of	TX	WNBZ674	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WPPF214	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WQBM285	860.7125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	KNDH570	860.2875, 860.3125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	WPNW558	860.7375
Houston, City of **	TX	KNIV874	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Mesquite, City of **	TX	WNKE234	860.3375
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	KRX666	860.3875
Missouri City	TX	WNAS493	860.9625
San Angelo, City of	TX	WPJG225	860.4625, 860.9375
Texas Tech University	TX	KNNJ876	860.9625
Travis County Emergency Service Dist #9	TX	KSP328	860.9375
Travis, County of	TX	WPYE612	860.2125, 860.2625
Travis, County of	TX	WPZR511	860.4375
Wichita Falls, City of	TX	WQAW913	860.4625, 860.9625
League City, City of	TX	WNNL329	860.9875
Lubbock, City of	TX	WPFW709	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9875
City of Murray	UT	WPSK554	860.4375
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WNYR765	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBI350	n/a*
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBM266	860.2625
Salt Lake, County of	UT	WPGJ689	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	KNIV722	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	WQCE706	860.7125
Utah, County of	UT	WPZV887	860.2125, 860.9375
Arlington, County of	VA	KNIQ704	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNAU439	860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNSS359	860.4875, 860.7375
Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections)	VA	WPIZ624	860.4875
Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC)	VA	WPRR746	860.4875
Clark, County of	WA	WPJY899	860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPLR403	860.9625
Clark, County of	WA	WPLX749	860.7625, 860.9375
King, County of	WA	WQBZ725	860.4625, 860.9625
Valley Communications Center	WA	WQBD600	860.2625, 860.7125
East Troy, Town of	WI	WNMD420	860.4375
Oregon Schools	WI	WPMV532	860.8875
Ozaukee, County of	WI	WNWS961	860.7125, 860.7625
Watertown Water, City of	WI	WPFD727	860.2375
Wisconsin, University of	WI	WPJH396	860.7875
Morgan, County of	WV	WPSD704	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA421	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA470	860.2125

* Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

** As of December 31, 2006, licensee has a pending request to withdraw its Expansion Band Election.

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2006

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	Southeast ESMR Band	Total
		Number of Call Signs				
Wave 1	Subtotal	798	336	1498	0	2632
6	CA - North	106	87	109	0	302
7	Colorado	27	12	151	0	190
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	24	360	0	480
11	Hawaii	55	5	20	0	80
13	Illinois	40	13	109	0	162
14	Indiana	27	33	89	0	149
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	81	23	87	0	191
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	18	60	0	144
27	Nevada	63	20	30	0	113
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	27	190	0	284
35	Oregon	48	7	32	0	87
41	Utah	21	4	123	0	148
42	Virginia	52	23	35	0	110
45	Wisconsin	13	10	2	0	25
54	Chicago	36	30	101	0	167
Wave 2	Subtotal	478	194	560	7	1239
4	Arkansas	39	44	70	0	153
12	Idaho*	15	1	0	0	16
15	Iowa	16	15	5	0	36
16	Kansas	33	6	185	0	224
17	Kentucky	16	16	8	0	40
22	Minnesota*	76	16	32	0	124
24	Missouri	37	11	18	0	66
25	Montana*	19	0	0	0	19
26	Nebraska	10	4	31	0	45
32	North Dakota*	12	0	1	0	13
34	Oklahoma	25	11	26	0	62
38	South Dakota	1	1	0	0	2
39	Tennessee	43	34	53	7	137
40	TX - Dallas	38	19	36	0	93
44	West Virginia	3	1	7	0	11
46	Wyoming	1	2	5	0	8
49	TX - Austin	11	8	48	0	67
51	TX - Houston	41	5	33	0	79
52	TX - Lubbock	42	0	2	0	44
Wave 3	Subtotal	548	222	801	244	1815
1	Alabama	9	27	24	58	118
9	Florida	195	69	291	38	593
10	Georgia	49	29	62	74	214
18	Louisiana	80	23	52	5	160
23	Mississippi	22	19	18	49	108
31	North Carolina	67	27	194	7	295
37	South Carolina	37	26	148	13	224
47	Puerto Rico	66	2	12	0	80
48	US Virgin Islands	23	0	0	0	23

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2006

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	Southeast ESMR Band	Total
		Number of Call Signs				
Wave 4	Subtotal	883	329	1274	0	2486
2	Alaska*	23	5	1	0	29
3	Arizona*	76	23	74	0	173
5	CA - South*	139	101	301	0	541
21	Michigan*	61	2	262	0	325
29	New Mexico*	25	5	9	0	39
30	NY - Albany*	95	69	179	0	343
33	Ohio*	105	38	123	0	266
36	Pennsylvania*	12	18	140	0	170
43	Washington*	152	22	138	0	312
50	TX - El Paso*	11	3	2	0	16
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	23	0	55
54	MI portion of Chicago*	9	8	18	0	35
55	New York - Buffalo*	159	16	4	0	179
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	2	0	0	2
63	Guam	0	1	0	0	1
Total for Waves 1-4		2707	1081	4133	251	8172

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2006

**Public Safety Expansion Band Elections Totals, as of December 31, 2006
(Elections NOT to Reconfigure)**

Wave	Public Safety Region (PSR) Number	PSR Name	Call Signs
1	6	CA - North	27
1	7	Colorado	3
1	8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	24
1	11	Hawaii	2
1	13	Illinois	14
1	14	Indiana	4
1	19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	2
1	20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	10
1	27	Nevada	3
1	28	NJ, PA, DE	22
1	35	Oregon	7
1	41	Utah	9
1	42	Virginia	3
1	45	Wisconsin	1
1	54	Chicago	9
2	4	Arkansas	6
2	12	Idaho*	5
2	15	Iowa	5
2	16	Kansas	3
2	17	Kentucky	2
2	22	Minnesota*	7
2	24	Missouri	4
2	26	Nebraska	6
2	32	North Dakota*	1
2	34	Oklahoma	1
2	39	Tennessee	7
2	40	TX - Dallas	2
2	44	West Virginia	2
2	49	TX - Austin	6
2	51	TX - Houston	9
2	52	TX - Lubbock	3
3	1	Alabama	4
3	9	Florida	16
3	18	Louisiana	32
3	23	Mississippi	5
3	31	North Carolina	13
3	37	South Carolina	9
4	2	Alaska*	3
4	3	Arizona*	4
4	5	CA - South*	21
4	36	Pennsylvania*	3
4	43	Washington*	4
4	50	TX - El Paso*	2
4	53	TX - San Antonio*	1
Grand Total			326

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2006

Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-4, as of December 31, 2006														
Status	Wave 1			Wave 2				Wave 3				Wave 4		
	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC
FPR Sent	90.2%	99.0%	99.5%	94.3%	100.0%	97.4%	99.8%	77.7%	96.5%	87.3%	99.0%	18.7%	34.1%	0.0%
Under Prior Contract	2.1%	0.6%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Affected by Border Area	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	52.6%	0.0%
EA and ESMR Related Call Signs	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Recent grants, revised or pending proposals	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	12.7%	0.0%	1.4%	12.5%	0.0%
FPRs in process (12/31/2006)	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%

Appendix 5 Notes:

* Public Safety Region (PSR) includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.

a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.

b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.

c. 1-120 Data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed locations in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.

d. Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band plan in the Atlanta area pursuant to the *Memorandum Opinion and Order* released October 5, 2005.

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	286	46	13	0	0	345
	Multiregion	75	18	4	0	0	97
	PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0	
6	Northern California	30	3	2	0	0	35
7	Colorado	10	0	1	0	0	11
8	Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	28	5	0	0	0	33
11	Hawaii	7	0	2	0	0	9
13	Illinois	16	2	0	0	0	18
14	Indiana	6	1	1	0	0	8
19	New England	15	0	0	0	0	15
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	8	5	0	0	0	13
27	Nevada	18	3	1	0	0	22
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	23	0	1	0	0	24
35	Oregon	13	1	0	0	0	14
41	Utah	5	2	0	0	0	7
42	Virginia	13	2	0	0	0	15
45	Wisconsin	6	1	0	0	0	7
54	Southern Lake Michigan	13	3	1	0	0	17
Wave 2	Subtotal	168	34	2	1	0	205
	Multiregion	53	14	2	0	0	69
	PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0	
4	Arkansas	6	3	0	0	0	9
12	Idaho (a)	4	0	0	0	0	4
15	Iowa	3	2	0	0	0	5
16	Kansas	8	0	0	1	0	9
17	Kentucky	8	1	0	0	0	9
22	Minnesota	22	4	0	0	0	26
24	Missouri	9	3	0	0	0	12
25	Montana	4	0	0	0	0	4
26	Nebraska	3	1	0	0	0	4
32	North Dakota (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	2	0	0	0	2
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	21	2	0	0	0	23
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	10	1	0	0	0	11
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	1	1	0	0	0	2
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	6	0	0	0	0	6
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	8	0	0	0	0	8

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 3	Subtotal	197	14	4	0	0	215
	Multiregion	62	4	2	0	0	68
	PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0	
1	Alabama	12	1	0	0	0	13
9	Florida	44	3	2	0	0	49
10	Georgia	27	2	0	0	0	29
18	Louisiana	13	1	0	0	0	14
23	Mississippi	10	1	0	0	0	11
31	North Carolina	17	1	0	0	0	18
37	South Carolina	4	1	0	0	0	5
47	Puerto Rico	5	0	0	0	0	5
48	US Virgin Islands	3	0	0	0	0	3
Wave 4	Subtotal	52	2	1	0	0	55
	Multiregion	11	0	0	0	0	11
	PSR TBD (b)	0	0	1	0	0	1
2	Alaska	4	0	0	0	0	4
3	Arizona (a)	15	0	0	0	0	15
5	CA - South (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
21	Michigan (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico (a)	4	0	0	0	0	4
30	Eastern Upstate NY (a)	2	0	0	0	0	2
33	Ohio (a)	2	0	0	0	0	2
36	Pennsylvania (a)	2	0	0	0	0	2
43	Washington (a)	4	0	0	0	0	4
50	TX - El Paso (a)	4	0	0	0	0	4
53	TX - San Antonio (a)	2	2	0	0	0	4
54	MI portion of Chicago (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
55	New York - Buffalo (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave TBD (c)	Subtotal	2	0	0	0	0	2
Totals for Waves 1-4		705	96	20	1	0	822

Notes:

(a) Public Safety Region (PSR) includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave TBD (To Be Determined) - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave.

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)							
Wave 1	Subtotal	42	2	0	0	0	44
	Multiregion	4	0	0	0	0	4
6	Northern California	3	0	0	0	0	3
7	Colorado	1	0	0	0	0	1
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	3	0	0	0	0	3
11	Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Illinois	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	9	0	0	0	0	9
19	New England	6	1	0	0	0	7
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	2	1	0	0	0	3
27	Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	5	0	0	0	0	5
35	Oregon	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	Utah	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
45	Wisconsin	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	Southern Lake Michigan	8	0	0	0	0	8
Wave 2	Subtotal	3	1	0	0	0	4
	Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Arkansas	1	0	0	0	0	1
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Kansas	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Kentucky	1	1	0	0	0	2
22	Minnesota	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	Missouri	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	Montana	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	1	0	0	0	0	1
44	West Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 3	Subtotal	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Alabama	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Florida	1	0	0	0	0	1
10	Georgia	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Louisiana	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	North Carolina	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	South Carolina	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of December 31, 2006

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)							
Wave 4	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Alaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Eastern Upstate NY*	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington*	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	TX - El Paso*	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave TBD**	Subtotal	4	0	0	0	0	4
Totals for Waves 1-4		50	3	0	0	0	53

Notes:

* Public Safety Region (PSR) includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.

** Wave TBD (To Be Determined) - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave.

Appendix 8
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006

Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Barbara Cunningham	5/5/2006	
2	Commonwealth Repeater Services, Inc.	7/12/2006	
3	HENDERSON, HENRY	6/26/2006	
4	Vico Construction	4/19/2006	
5	Smith, Alton	5/18/2006	
6	John L. Kuypers	2/27/2006	
7	Wulf, Thomas	7/10/2006	
8	Barbara A. Chapin	5/26/2006	
9	Gonsalves, Rolland	6/30/2006	
10	Ferma Corp	9/20/2006	
11	Lodi Unified School District	12/22/2005	
12	Dick Anderson and Sons, Inc	7/5/2006	
13	Granite Construction, Inc	9/8/2006	
14	Cupertino Union School District	6/6/2006	
15	E&J Gallo Winery	8/14/2006	
16	Billiou	2/7/2006	
17	841 Bishop LLC	7/6/2006	
18	Marco Polo	4/27/2006	
19	Ohio Valley Gas Corp	4/27/2006	
20	Resorts USA, Inc.	6/30/2006	
21	NBC Telemundo License Company	10/9/2006	
22	Mid-State Mobile Radio	12/8/2006	
23	Parrot Ranch Company	2/15/2006	
24	CSI Communications DBA Day Wireless Systems	8/9/2006	
25	Hampden Communications Corp	12/12/2006	
26	Mountaire Farms, Inc	12/5/2006	
27	Ihilani Rebanding	10/20/2006	
28	Binder Machinery Corporation	4/28/2006	
29	Underground Inc	6/21/2006	
30	Mammoth Recreations, Inc.	7/12/2006	
31	Firstview Communications 2	1/27/2006	
32	Triple C Communications	12/6/2006	
33	Levi Strauss & Co	8/30/2006	
34	Total Network Communications Inc	8/3/2006	
35	MS Concrete	7/26/2006	
36	F & D Enterprises Inc	7/17/2006	
37	Boston Properties Limited Partnership	8/24/2006	
38	A Teichert & Son, Inc.	8/1/2006	
39	Bresnan Communications, LLC	6/9/2006	
40	Rocky Mountain Motorists, Inc.	7/24/2006	
41	Coast Hotels and Casinos	2/27/2006	
42	Henry Nelch & Son Co.	7/28/2006	
43	GOLD STAR FS INC	4/18/2006	
44	Church of Jesus Christ of LDS	8/1/2006	
45	TKT INC	11/2/2006	
46	Fruit Belt Service Co. Inc	8/30/2006	
47	PETRY, GAY	10/17/2006	
48	CASINO QUEEN INC	7/10/2006	
49	West County Transportation Agency	5/25/2006	
50	Emery, Connie R	5/11/2006	
51	EMERY, ROBERT M	6/2/2006	

Appendix 8
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
52	Steuben County 3	8/15/2006	
53	Baker Rock Crushing Company	11/27/2006	
54	Brandenburg, James: Brandenburg, Donald	6/6/2006	
55	Wireless Connections LLC.	10/17/2006	
56	Brooks, Janis	12/1/2006	
57	Cook Dupage Transporation Inc	8/21/2006	
58	Comcast of Connecticut, Inc	11/27/2006	
59	San Jose Unified School District	7/27/2006	
60	Duncan, Gordon L	11/21/2006	
61	Las Vegas Paving	7/6/2006	
62	Sobata, Carolyn:Sobota, Robin A	6/16/2006	
63	PK Smith Limousine Co., Inc. 2	6/3/2006	
64	Plote Inc.	6/16/2006	
65	Weleccki Alan M	12/28/2006	
66	Donald B. Storer	6/21/2006	
67	Super Shuttle International 2	5/30/2006	
68	Mathews, Frederick J:Mathews JR, Chas J	12/6/2006	
69	Irving Materials, Inc.	7/28/2006	
70	ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.	5/16/2006	
71	Perdue Farms Inc:	6/26/2006	
72	London Town Cars Inc	8/9/2006	
73	Nelda Lowery	2/15/2006	
74	New Star Fresh Foods LLC	10/9/2006	
75	Access AG	5/24/2006	
76	Steve Blankenbechler	8/1/2006	
77	Eddie Fowlkes	8/31/2006	
78	Wireless Market Source 2	1/26/2006	
79	Transit Mix Concrete Co	1/24/2006	
80	Boyar, Adam	4/25/2006	
81	Sunset Scavenger Corp	4/27/2006	
82	Greg Shuluk	8/28/2006	
83	Lely, Gerald	7/12/2006	
84	Jung, Mark	9/11/2006	
85	Crader, R David	4/17/2006	
86	Patel, Shailesh N	6/14/2006	
87	Douglas E Morris Profit Sharing Trust	6/29/2006	
88	Gary Wright Jr.	10/23/2006	
89	Yamaoka Bros Inc	5/26/2006	
90	Wilbur Ellis Company DBA Helm Fertilizer	7/14/2006	
91	Community Repeater Guinda CA	8/1/2006	
92	Communication Systems Specialist, Inc.	6/9/2006	
93	Radisson Hotel and Conference Center	7/26/2006	
94	Gallagher Asphalt Corporation 2	5/23/2006	
95	Jeffersonville, City of	8/4/2006	
96	Daniel H. Black	6/1/2006	
97	Rock, County of	11/13/2006	
98	STEG, BERNARD (2)	5/9/2006	
99	Marcia Stock	2/15/2006	
100	Secom Communications 2	7/11/2006	
101	Whitley County Consolidated Schools	8/16/2006	
102	High Peak Communications LLC	4/21/2006	
103	Central Jersey Irrigation	7/24/2006	
104	Conley, George	11/2/2006	

Appendix 8
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
105	CLIFFORD BROMAN & SONS TRUCKING INC	2/16/2006	
106	Wheatridge, City of	8/21/2006	
107	Ruffin Gaming LLC	4/21/2006	
108	Denver Public Schools	9/6/2006	
109	Shearer Communications	8/15/2006	
110	Robert Tilden	8/9/2006	
111	PRENTISS PROPERTIES (REBANDING)	7/13/2006	
112	Espinoza, Raul	4/27/2006	
113	Triangle Wireless	7/12/2006	
114	OREGON, STATE OF	12/22/2005	
115	Detweiler, Scott R.	5/15/2006	
116	Dorler Communications Company	11/1/2006	
117	Alger, Eve	8/15/2006	
118	Easton, Town of	7/28/2006	
119	Albany, City of	5/3/2006	
120	RA Comm Inc.	2/3/2006	
121	Upper Merion, Township of	9/8/2006	
122	Waterbury, City of	10/24/2006	
123	Windham, Town of	11/20/2006	
124	LYON, COREY M	8/4/2006	
125	Lloyd L. Jokers	2/7/2006	
126	Taylor, Eugene J	4/21/2006	
127	Tilford, A D	9/5/2006	
128	Unified Sewerage Agency	7/21/2006	
129	Denver Radio Electronics & Technology	7/19/2006	
130	Sangamon, County of (Courthouse)	8/4/2006	
131	Wecom Inc	12/6/2006	
132	G & G Communications Inc. Rebanding	11/27/2006	
133	Excalibur Hotel Casino	8/28/2006	
134	Mandalay Corp	8/3/2006	
135	Crane, Bert	10/13/2006	
136	Morgan, County of (WV)	11/10/2006	
137	Tooele, County Of	5/9/2006	
138	The Boeing Company - Non-Border	8/29/2006	
139	Illinois, State Of (Lower 120)	10/12/2006	
140	Clinton Herby - Typecraft II	4/17/2006	
141	Stamford Fire Department	10/6/2006	
142	Aluminum Company of America 1	7/28/2006	
143	Celco SND Comm Inc	7/7/2006	
144	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. (2)	9/26/2006	
145	Lectro Communications, INC	8/9/2006	
146	Waste Management Holdings, Inc. (2)	9/6/2006	
147	Mobile Radio of Kokomo Inc	10/5/2006	
148	Viking Land Mobile Communications	5/9/2006	
149	DEERE & COMPANY	11/2/2006	
150	RAFT River Electric Corp	1/6/2006	
151	Plantings by the Sea	4/25/2006	
152	Hardy Plumbing, Heating & Air	8/15/2006	
153	CU Radio Enterprises, Inc.	8/8/2006	
154	Hudson General - Boston	11/20/2006	
155	Stuart R. Slater	12/23/2005	
156	Veach, Dorothy	8/30/2006	
157	Aeronautical Radio - NJ	10/6/2006	
158	CNF TRANSPORTATION INC	12/8/2006	

Appendix 8
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
159	SALVATION ARMY HARRISBURG	7/17/2006	
160	Technology Associates, LLC	8/29/2006	
161	Sangamon, County of (Mobile Data)	9/8/2006	
162	Dorothy Taylor	4/27/2006	
163	Chicago, City of - Streets & Sanitation	6/14/2006	
164	Myers, Natalie #6	5/19/2006	
165	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. (4)	9/27/2006	
166	Brock, Harold:Samuel:Dorothy (Nevada)	7/6/2006	
167	Sheldon, Gwyneth A #4 (swap)	7/20/2006	
168	Milwaukee Area Tech College	9/15/2006	
169	NEW ALBANY, CITY OF, IN PH II	10/2/2006	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		169
Wave 2			
1	Union Carbide Corporation	12/8/2006	
2	Fischer, Craig D	4/21/2006	
3	Frontier El Dorado	8/4/2006	
4	TFMCOMM	7/13/2006	
5	Gateway Wireless Services	10/4/2006	
6	Edward Butler (rebanding)	7/6/2006	
7	Champion Communication Services	8/3/2006	
8	J Lee Milligan	6/6/2006	
9	Gregory Balis	6/28/2006	
10	Jimi Malavia	5/9/2006	
11	Telebeep Inc.	8/4/2006	
12	Darrell Best and Donna Best	5/19/2006	
13	NEBCO	2/27/2006	
14	John Herby - Typecraft	7/27/2006	
15	Lehman Roberts	9/15/2006	
16	American Red Cross	12/1/2006	
17	Graybill Electronics Inc	5/26/2006	
18	Triple D Communications	4/27/2006	
19	SEBA BROS FARMS INC	4/27/2006	
20	Electronic Specialties Inc	3/8/2006	
21	Fred Weber Inc.	7/19/2006	
22	West Central Communications 2	7/12/2006	
23	Scott Strouts DBA ABC Taxi	7/5/2006	
24	City of Maplewood	1/3/2006	
25	Boyar, Chris	6/9/2006	
26	Schmidtke, Dave	11/1/2006	
27	Roadrunner Transportation	6/6/2006	
28	Howell SR. Michael H	10/12/2006	
29	Davis Jr, Clifton	8/15/2006	
30	Dakota Dunes, City of	8/28/2006	
31	Bismarck, City of	12/27/2006	
32	Allied Services LLC	7/26/2006	
33	John Knox Village	11/10/2006	
34	Battles Communications 2	5/15/2006	
35	Houston 900 Network	6/23/2006	
36	WATONWAN FARM SERVICE	9/27/2006	
37	Smith, Eleanor (2)	5/18/2006	
38	Williamstown, City of	8/7/2006	
39	Metro Communications LLC	4/27/2006	
40	Hewlett Packard Company Inc.	5/5/2006	
41	MOLITOR FARMS INC.	8/9/2006	

Appendix 8
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
42	AVR INC	10/6/2006	
43	Minnesota, State of	5/15/2006	
44	Midwest City, City of OK	11/2/2006	
45	ACTION RADIO & COMMUNICATIONS INC	10/23/2006	
46	Lyondell Citgo	3/27/2006	
47	Minnesota Mobile Telephone	8/24/2006	
48	Zenk, John	1/10/2006	
49	Johnson Christian	8/3/2006	
50	L E Myers	6/2/2006	
51	STEIER, TIM	4/24/2006	
52	AMES CONSTRUCTION INC	8/15/2006	
53	STIER TRANSPORTATION SERVICES INC	8/18/2006	
54	CO OP AGRICULTURE CENTER	8/24/2006	
55	Marth Herby - Typecraft	8/16/2006	
56	Richard Rabe - Typecraft 2005	11/8/2006	
57	First Student, Inc. (MN)	4/17/2006	
58	Alperowitz, Francine	7/28/2006	
59	HOPKINS, CITY OF	10/31/2006	
60	Radioland, Inc	5/15/2006	
61	Eric McMahon	4/15/2006	
62	Estate of Joseph C Thames	7/21/2006	
63	Leonardt, Bruno	8/1/2006	
64	Richardson, TX City of	10/30/2006	
65	Idaho Supreme Potatoes	1/3/2006	
66	Wood Communications 2	6/28/2006	
67	Mid Tennessee Third Mobile	5/9/2006	
68	Aeronautical Radio Inc	10/27/2006	
69	Lees Summit Board of Education	1/17/2006	
70	Kenton County Airport Board	6/19/2006	
71	C S Leasing	11/27/2006	
72	Dallas, County of	11/27/2006	
73	Eastman Chemical Company	12/1/2006	
74	Jimmy A. Epperson 2	5/25/2006	
75	Miller, Cleo	8/24/2006	
76	Melba School District 136	6/6/2006	
77	North Kansas City, City of (School District)	7/13/2006	
78	BELLAR COMMUNICATIONS CO	8/14/2006	
79	MUSTANG, CITY OF, OK	12/15/2006	
80	AIRTEL WIRELESS, LLC	10/12/2006	
81	ASHLAND CONSTRUCTION COMMUNICATIONS	7/6/2006	
82	ATHENS UTILITIES BOARD, TN	11/17/2006	
83	C & W COMMUNICATIONS INC	11/9/2006	
84	CRAIGHEAD, COUNTY OF, AR	8/16/2006	
85	CRITTENDEN, COUNTY OF, AR	11/1/2006	
86	HEARTHSTONE ENTERPRISES INC	10/3/2006	
87	HOLSUM BAKERS INC DBA CAPROCK COMMUN	6/9/2006	
88	PINE BLUFF, CITY OF, AR	6/16/2006	
89	STINNETT HEATER TREATER REPAIRS	5/24/2006	
90	Minneapolis, City of, MN	6/20/2006	
91	Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding - N'ville)	6/27/2006	
92	21st Century Wireless (L120 Rebanding)	8/9/2006	
93	Cox Communications-Wichita, KS	5/15/2006	
94	Aeronautical Radio - Mississippi	11/29/2006	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		94

Appendix 8
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 3			
1	Time Warner Entertainment	3/29/2006	
2	MEARS DESTINATION SERVICES INC	8/15/2006	
3	Curry & Company Plumbing, Inc	5/11/2006	
4	BJM and Associates Inc	10/17/2006	
5	Cargill Juice North America Inc.	1/3/2006	
6	Ben Hill Griffin	7/11/2006	
7	North Communications of PR	5/10/2006	
8	Action Community Center	11/27/2006	
9	AFLAC	9/15/2006	
10	ASHFORD, CITY OF, AL	8/30/2006	
11	BOULWARE, WINSTON	6/20/2006	
12	BUDDYS PHONE PATCH INC	9/20/2006	
13	BYRD, ALAN P	8/3/2006	
14	Bright House Networks, LLC	7/19/2006	
15	CHARLOTTE, CITY OF, NC	11/21/2006	
16	EVERGLADES COMMUNICATIONS INC	11/20/2006	
17	Electronic Maintenance Company	12/19/2006	
18	GASTONIA, CITY OF, NC	8/15/2006	
19	HARALSON, COUNTY OF, GA	12/13/2006	
20	HATTIESBURG-LAUREL REGIONAL AIRPORT AU	12/27/2006	
21	HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, AL	7/21/2006	
22	Highland Wireless	5/23/2006	
23	INGRAM GROVE SERVICE INC	8/9/2006	
24	JACK M BERRY INC	12/13/2006	
25	LOUISBURG, TOWN OF, NC	8/15/2006	
26	LYKES BROTHERS INC	8/1/2006	
27	MADISON, PARISH OF, LA	11/30/2006	
28	MODERN COMMUNICATIONS OF GREENVILLE M	7/11/2006	
29	MORGANTON, CITY OF, NC	8/28/2006	
30	OXFORD, CITY OF, NC	10/6/2006	
31	PATTERSON COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONIC	12/11/2006	
32	QUADRATICS INC	7/14/2006	
33	RABALAIS JR, RONALD J	10/11/2006	
34	RODD ELECTRONICS INC	6/29/2006	
35	SAINT JOSEPH HOSPITAL INC	10/17/2006	
36	SHREVEPORT COMMUNICATION SERVICE CO I	7/11/2006	
37	SUPERSHUTTLE INTERNATIONAL - Wave 3	9/6/2006	
38	TALLAPOOSA, COUNTY OF, AL	12/11/2006	
39	TALLULAH, CITY OF, LA	11/29/2006	
40	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY	11/21/2006	
41	TRI CO COMMUNICATIONS	8/10/2006	
42	Two Way Communications, Inc. LA	11/2/2006	
43	VANGUARD COMMUNICATION SERVICES INC	7/26/2006	
44	VESTAVIA HILLS, CITY OF, AL	12/6/2006	
45	WASHINGTON, COUNTY OF, FL	11/27/2006	
46	WATERS BROTHERS CONTRACTING	9/15/2006	
47	WILEMON, JERRY W	5/17/2006	
48	WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT COMPAN	10/27/2006	
49	WOODARD COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION	11/8/2006	
50	Waste Services of Florida, Inc.	11/17/2006	
51	White, James	9/8/2006	
52	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. (3)	9/27/2006	
53	CellSMR South Inc	10/17/2006	

Appendix 8
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006

	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
54	Florida, State of - Ranger Drainage District	12/28/2006	
55	Perry, County of, MS	12/27/2006	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		55
Wave 4			
1	Mike Keller	4/27/2006	
2	Johnson, Harold L	3/27/2006	
3	Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding)	6/6/2006	
4	J R Simplot Company	12/23/2005	
5	CHINGAS, JOSEPH	9/18/2006	
6	JOSH KLASSEN INC	6/1/2006	
7	KRONENFELD, KURT	7/25/2006	
8	KUHN, DALE F:KUHN, TRENTON DBA KUHNS NE	9/19/2006	
9	MIDDLESEX, TOWNSHIP OF, PA	9/20/2006	
10	RIZZO, ALICE P	11/9/2006	
11	SCHATZLEIN, DAVID A	8/1/2006	
12	SIMS, VICKI	9/15/2006	
13	WULF, BARBARA J	6/2/2006	
14	Kronenfeld, Mark	7/12/2006	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		14
Total Completed FRAs			332

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8
Summary of FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006

FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Town of Salisbury	05/09/06	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		1
Wave 2			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		0
Wave 3			
1	ARC TRANSIT INC	12/15/2006	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		1
Wave 4			
1	REHOBOTH MC KINLEY CHRISTIAN HOSPITAL	12/6/2006	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		1
Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			3

Appendix 8
Summary of PFAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2006

Completed PFAs*		
	Deal Name	Receipt Date
Wave 1		
1	Roanoke County, VA	12/15/2006
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1	1
Wave 2		
1	Total Petrochemicals, USA, Inc.	12/1/2006
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2	1
Wave 3		
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3	0
Wave 4		
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4	0
	Total Completed FRAs	2

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 9
Stakeholder Outreach Activities:
Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives
For Quarter Ended December 31, 2006

October 2006:

Virginia Interoperable Communications Conference
International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) Annual Conference
911 Conference, Springfield, IL
State of Minnesota licensee meeting/working session
RACOM meeting/working session in Marshalltown, Iowa
22nd Annual Illinois Telecommunications Public Safety APCO/NENA/ICC Conference
Michigan Regional APCO Meeting
Louisiana SEIC Meeting
Florida APCO Business Meeting
NAPCO Meeting in Modesto, CA
National Capital Region (NCR) Regional Planning Meeting
6th Annual Indiana Regional Rebanding Meeting

November 2006:

IWCE/MRT Wireless Summit
Georgia APCO Breakout Meeting
Georgia Region 10 Public Safety Planning Meeting
NPSTC Meeting in Long Island, New York
Licensee Rebanding Conference, Charlotte, NC

December 2006:

NLC Congress of Cities and Exposition
Louisiana SEIC Meeting
Colorado APCO Chapter Meeting
Colorado EDACS Users Group
National Law Enforcement Interoperability Study Advisory Group Meeting
Northeast Tarrant County Users Group Meeting, Euless, TX
UASI and North Central Colorado Communications Committees Meeting

Appendix 10
800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
Fees and Expenses through December 31, 2006

	Quarter Ending Mar. 31, 2006	Quarter Ending June 30, 2006	Quarter Ending Sept. 30, 2006	Quarter Ending Dec. 31, 2006	Year-to-Date	Inception-to-Date
Fees:						
Public Safety Outreach*		\$1,195,661	\$1,351,756	\$1,304,082	\$3,851,499	\$3,851,499
Reconfiguration Management	\$1,991,892	\$1,367,722	\$1,634,877	\$1,616,216	\$6,610,707	\$11,952,232
Frequency Management **	\$651,338	\$576,775	\$647,841	\$547,762	\$2,423,716	\$4,981,022
Financial Management	\$696,495	\$604,303	\$647,322	\$653,995	\$2,602,114	\$4,878,951
General Counsel/Regulatory Management	\$1,691,979	\$1,421,044	\$1,445,472	\$1,820,281	\$6,378,776	\$12,179,403
Stakeholder Relationship Management	\$1,118,730	\$1,076,493	\$1,191,236	\$979,855	\$4,366,313	\$9,482,394
TA Systems Support	\$462,636	\$452,552	\$320,198	\$271,502	\$1,506,888	\$5,427,097
Program Management Support	\$615,773	\$723,227	\$677,249	\$576,700	\$2,592,949	\$5,650,994
Alternative Dispute Resolution	\$732,738	\$608,342	\$850,260	\$1,688,238	\$3,879,577	\$3,980,215
<i>Fees Subtotal</i>	\$7,961,579	\$8,026,118	\$8,766,209	\$9,458,630	\$34,212,536	\$62,383,805
Expenses:	\$174,419	\$162,675	\$196,030	\$179,551	\$712,674	\$2,145,459
Total Fees and Expenses	\$8,135,998	\$8,188,794	\$8,962,239	\$9,638,181	\$34,925,211	\$64,529,265

* Prior to the quarter ended June 30, 2006, Public Safety Outreach fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.

** During the quarters ended December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005, all Frequency Management fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.